Response ID	5631462
Date of Contribution	12/04/2024 03:25:47 PM
First Name	
Last Name	

Your contact details

Email Address	
Post Code	
Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation, association or community group?	Yes, I'm submitting on behalf of an organisation, association or community group
Name of organisation, association or community group	Kotare and Waipopo Trust

Supporting your submission

Anyone can make a submission. All submissions will be considered by Council in accordance with our submissions policy before they make a decision.

You can upload documents to support your submission. Please make sure each page includes your name. The maximum file size is 200MB	See Upload File 1 section.
You can upload documents to support your submission. Please make sure each page includes your name. The maximum file size is 200MB	See Upload File 2 section.
If you would like to give feedback via a video, add a link to YouTube or Dropbox file below	See Upload File 3 section.
If you wish, you can also come to talk to Council about your submission at public sessions that are known as 'hearings'. Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at the Long-Term Plan hearings?	No
Please provide your phone number so we can contact you to schedule a hearing time	
Which age category are you in?	40-64

Environmental Regulation and Protection

We are Canterbury's environmental regulator. We are responsible for managing natural resources including air, soil, water and land. We work with mana whenua, stakeholders, and communities to promote the sustainable management of these natural resources, and protect and enhance the health of our natural environment.

Question	Answer	
----------	--------	--

We are proposing three options for Option 1: Effective Environmental Regulation and Protection services funding our Environmental Regulation and with significant investment towards community funding (\$140.4m in Protection services. Please indicate which 2024/25, Year 1) option you prefer Tell us more about the option you chose. Prevention of Environmental degradation should be paramount. Poorly managed responses by Ecan to issues of environmental What would you support and what would you suggest we change or do differently for concern have resulted in huge losses to our ecosystem. Loss of **Environmental Regulation and Protection?** natural streams, habitats and the life they support. Over allocation of water for irrigation, leaving less to support our waterways and groundwater reserves. Rural communities relying on groundwater for home supply being left with a resource unfit for human consumption due to high levels of contaminants. Poor management and direction by Ecan to monitor and manage more efficiently our precious commodity known as water has resulted in a huge cost to our environment, and to many rural residential ratepayers. To now ask us for more money so that the issues we face can be fixed is insulting. Many of these would never have occurred or been as detrementable if action had been taken sooner. Change of land use ie cropping to dairy, has resulted in an increased uptake of water to service the industry needs. Land use change needs be be regulated to what can be supported by the water resource available in the area. Our waterways should take priority as should our groundwater supplies.

Targeted rate for Christchurch district biodiversity

We are proposing to fund more work to improve indigenous biodiversity outcomes in Christchurch and Banks Peninsula through a new targeted rate to properties in those areas.

This would be in addition to the work already funded through the existing regional rate. \$1million for this additional work is already included in Council's preferred option for Environmental Regulation and Protection services.

This equates to rates of 72 cents per year per \$100,000 of your property value.

If there is sufficient support for this new targeted rate, Council could decide to include this targeted rate regardless of whether Option 2 is ultimately accepted.

Question	Answer
Do you support this new biodiversity targeted rate?	Don't know
Tell us more about why you support / don't support this biodiversity rate?	

Community Preparedness and Response to Hazards

We support the community to be prepared for, and be able to respond to hazards, and to be prepared for changes in the natural environment.

Question	Answer
We are proposing three options for funding our Community Preparedness and Response to Hazards services. Please indicate which option you prefer	Option 1: Effective and efficient Community Preparedness and Response to Hazards services with significant increase in investment. (\$52.4m in 2024/25, Year 1)
Tell us more about the option you chose. What would you support and what would	Protect our stopbanks from vehicles constantly damaging them. There should be no vehicles aside from Ecan maintenance on the

you suggest we change or do differently for	stopbanks. The gouging out of the bank structure by vehicles is a
Community Preparedness and Response to	regular occurrence along the Opihi River. Strong and undamaged
Hazards?	banks may assist in the prevention a breach during a high river event.
	This is not a costly option in comparison to constant repairing, and the
	devastation that can be caused if the bank breaches. Can be
	implemented immediately.

Targeted rate for Selwyn district for river resilience

We are proposing a trial in the Selwyn district to carry out additional flood and river resilience activities. Existing schemes do not change.

The cost for this additional work will be through a targeted rate to all properties in the Selwyn district. \$200,000 for this additional work is already included in Council's preferred option for Community Preparedness and Response to Hazards. This equates to rates of \$7.08 per rate-paying property in Selwyn district in 2024/25 (Year 1).

Question	Answer
Do you support a new river targeted rate in Selwyn?	Don't know
Tell us more about why you support / don't support this river rate	

Public Transport

We provide urban bus services within the Canterbury region, and ferry services in Christchurch.

We do this because public transport increases accessibility, connects communities and contributes to significant environmental benefits such as reduced greenhouse gas emissions, better air quality, and improved travel times across the transport network.

Question	Answer
We are proposing three options to fund Public Transport services. Please indicate which option you prefer	None of the above options
Tell us more about the option you chose. What would you support and what would you suggest we change or do differently for Public Transport?	I fully support the public transport network, but think the services are not inclusive of all communities. Perhaps subsidy's for community vehicles could considered when no public transport is an option.

Fees and Charges schedule

In order to make our consent-related costs more transparent, we are proposing a move towards a fixed-fee approach for some of our consenting work.

There are a number of benefits to this for our community including certainty of invoice amount, more timely invoicing, removing the need for a deposit and standard site visit costs will be built in.

Question	Answer
Do you agree with this new fees and charges proposal?	
Tell us more about why you support / don't support this approach. What would you support and what would you suggest we change or do differently?	

Uniform Annual General Charge / Uniform Annual Charge (UAGC/UAC)

UAGC/UAC are flat charges that are applied at the same amount for every property, no matter the value of your property. We currently charge ratepayers \$54.49 (in the 2023/24 year) for a range of services funded by UAGC or targeted UAC. This income represents approximately 8% of the total amount of money we collect from rates. Council's preferred option is to set the UAGC and UAC charges to approximately 8% of total rates each year of the Long-Term Plan. This means as rates rise in the future, the value of the UAGC/UAC component of rates will also rise.

Question	Answer
Which Uniform Annual General Charge/Uniform Annual Charge (UAGC/UAC) rate increase would you support?	(Council's preferred option) 8% of total rates (amounts to \$58.38 in 2024/25, Year 1 of the Long-Term Plan)
Tell us more about the option you chose. What would you suggest we change or do differently?	

Strategies and policies

Question	Answer
We'd value your feedback on any of these strategies and policies	
Tell us what you think about the Financial Strategy	
Tell us what you think about the Revenue and Financing Policy	
Tell us what you think about the 30-Year Infrastructure Strategy 2024-54	
Tell us what you think about the Engagement, Significance and Māori Participation Policy	

Upload File 1.

You can upload documents to support your submission. Please make sure each page includes your name. The maximum file size is 200MB. If Image uploaded, will be shown below, if document it will be saved separately to this PDF.

No Image uploaded

Upload File 2.

You can upload documents to support your submission. Please make sure each page includes your name. The maximum file size is 200MB. If Image uploaded, will be shown below, if document it will be saved separately to this PDF.



You can upload documents to support your submission. Please make sure each page includes your name. The maximum file size is 200MB. If Image uploaded, will be shown below, if document it will be saved separately to this PDF.

