

Response ID	5635152
Date of Contribution	14/04/2024 08:48:07 AM
First Name	Andy
Last Name	England

### Your contact details

Email Address	
Post Code	
Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation, association or community group?	Yes, I'm submitting on behalf of an organisation, association or community group
Name of organisation, association or community group	Darfield High School

### Supporting your submission

Anyone can make a submission. All submissions will be considered by Council in accordance with our submissions policy before they make a decision.

You can upload documents to support your submission. Please make sure each page includes your name. The maximum file size is 200MB	See Upload File 1 section.
You can upload documents to support your submission. Please make sure each page includes your name. The maximum file size is 200MB	See Upload File 2 section.
If you would like to give feedback via a video, add a link to YouTube or Dropbox file below	See Upload File 3 section.
If you wish, you can also come to talk to Council about your submission at public sessions that are known as 'hearings'. Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at the Long-Term Plan hearings?	No
Please provide your phone number so we can contact you to schedule a hearing time	
Which age category are you in?	40-64

### Environmental Regulation and Protection

We are Canterbury's environmental regulator. We are responsible for managing natural resources including air, soil, water and land. We work with mana whenua, stakeholders, and communities to promote the sustainable management of these natural resources, and protect and enhance the health of our natural environment.

Question	Answer
----------	--------

We are proposing three options for funding our Environmental Regulation and Protection services. Please indicate which option you prefer	Option 2: (Council's preferred option) Effective and efficient Environmental Regulation and Protection services with reduced investment towards community funding to manage cost pressures (\$134.9m in 2024/25, Year 1)
Tell us more about the option you chose. What would you support and what would you suggest we change or do differently for Environmental Regulation and Protection?	Reducing environmental protection would be harmful to our futures. As schools, we are acutely aware of the impacts of behaviours now on our futures. Our students value concepts such as kaitiakitanga. We also have a substantial farming base and need to consider their social and economic viability in decisions.

### Targeted rate for Christchurch district biodiversity

We are proposing to fund more work to improve indigenous biodiversity outcomes in Christchurch and Banks Peninsula through a new targeted rate to properties in those areas.

This would be in addition to the work already funded through the existing regional rate. \$1million for this additional work is already included in Council's preferred option for Environmental Regulation and Protection services.

This equates to rates of 72 cents per year per \$100,000 of your property value.

If there is sufficient support for this new targeted rate, Council could decide to include this targeted rate regardless of whether Option 2 is ultimately accepted.

Question	Answer
Do you support this new biodiversity targeted rate?	Don't know
Tell us more about why you support / don't support this biodiversity rate?	This submission is focussed on DHS

### Community Preparedness and Response to Hazards

We support the community to be prepared for, and be able to respond to hazards, and to be prepared for changes in the natural environment.

Question	Answer
We are proposing three options for funding our Community Preparedness and Response to Hazards services. Please indicate which option you prefer	Option 2: (Council's preferred option) Effective Community Preparedness and Response to Hazards services with less additional investment and use of debt funding to manage cost pressures. (\$50.5M in 2024/25, Year 1)
Tell us more about the option you chose. What would you support and what would you suggest we change or do differently for Community Preparedness and Response to Hazards?	Hazards can be significant in any community but our community, between two major rivers and including the Alps, is somewhat exposed. We trust the experts who have led to Council's recommendation.

### Targeted rate for Selwyn district for river resilience

We are proposing a trial in the Selwyn district to carry out additional flood and river resilience activities.

Existing schemes do not change.

The cost for this additional work will be through a targeted rate to all properties in the Selwyn district. \$200,000 for this additional work is already included in Council's preferred option for Community Preparedness and Response to Hazards. This equates to rates of \$7.08 per rate-paying property in Selwyn district in 2024/25 (Year 1).

Question	Answer
----------	--------



Do you support a new river targeted rate in Selwyn?	Yes
Tell us more about why you support / don't support this river rate	See above. It affects/protects our community

## Public Transport

We provide urban bus services within the Canterbury region, and ferry services in Christchurch.

We do this because public transport increases accessibility, connects communities and contributes to significant environmental benefits such as reduced greenhouse gas emissions, better air quality, and improved travel times across the transport network.

Question	Answer
We are proposing three options to fund Public Transport services. Please indicate which option you prefer	Option 1: (Council's preferred option) Full investment to our committed work programme of service improvements (\$160.8m in 2024/25, Year 1)
Tell us more about the option you chose. What would you support and what would you suggest we change or do differently for Public Transport?	We are especially keen to see a public transport route between Darfield and Rolleston plus an extension of services from Darfield to Chch. Rolleston has become the central hub for services in the Selwyn district, such as the Youth Hub, but is currently inaccessible to young people from Malvern - all of our roll of 830 students. This creates an inequitable delivery of services, barriers which our students and their families (who currently have to drive) face unfairly. For students, some services such as confidential medical services may not be suitable to ask parents to drive to (or at least this presents a significant barrier). Isolation, for example for our increasingly diverse ethnic groups, should be able to be reduced via public transport. Staff access to work is currently all by private vehicle: many of our staff live in Rolleston and Chch so their travel to work is polluting and adding to congestion.

## Fees and Charges schedule

In order to make our consent-related costs more transparent, we are proposing a move towards a fixed-fee approach for some of our consenting work.

There are a number of benefits to this for our community including certainty of invoice amount, more timely invoicing, removing the need for a deposit and standard site visit costs will be built in.

Question	Answer
Do you agree with this new fees and charges proposal?	Don't know
Tell us more about why you support / don't support this approach. What would you support and what would you suggest we change or do differently?	

## Uniform Annual General Charge / Uniform Annual Charge (UAGC/UAC)

UAGC/UAC are flat charges that are applied at the same amount for every property, no matter the value of your property. We currently charge ratepayers \$54.49 (in the 2023/24 year) for a range of services funded by UAGC or targeted UAC. This income represents approximately 8% of the total amount of money we collect from rates.

Council's preferred option is to set the UAGC and UAC charges to approximately 8% of total rates each year of the Long-Term Plan. This means as rates rise in the future, the value of the UAGC/UAC component of rates will also rise.

Question	Answer
Which Uniform Annual General Charge/Uniform Annual Charge (UAGC/UAC) rate increase would you support?	(Council's preferred option) 8% of total rates (amounts to \$58.38 in 2024/25, Year 1 of the Long-Term Plan)
Tell us more about the option you chose. What would you suggest we change or do differently?	Trust Council judgement

### Strategies and policies

Question	Answer
We'd value your feedback on any of these strategies and policies	
Tell us what you think about the Financial Strategy	
Tell us what you think about the Revenue and Financing Policy	
Tell us what you think about the 30-Year Infrastructure Strategy 2024-54	
Tell us what you think about the Engagement, Significance and Māori Participation Policy	

### Upload File 1.

You can upload documents to support your submission. Please make sure each page includes your name. The maximum file size is 200MB. If Image uploaded, will be shown below, if document it will be saved separately to this PDF.



No Image uploaded

**Upload File 2.**

You can upload documents to support your submission. Please make sure each page includes your name. The maximum file size is 200MB. If Image uploaded, will be shown below, if document it will be saved separately to this PDF.



No Image uploaded

**Upload File 3.**

You can upload documents to support your submission. Please make sure each page includes your name. The maximum file size is 200MB. If Image uploaded, will be shown below, if document it will be saved separately to this PDF.



No Image uploaded