

Response ID	5631106
Date of Contribution	12/04/2024 12:52:58 PM
First Name	Matthew
Last Name	Baird

### Your contact details

Email Address	
Post Code	
Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation, association or community group?	No, it's my personal submission
Name of organisation, association or community group	

### Supporting your submission

Anyone can make a submission. All submissions will be considered by Council in accordance with our submissions policy before they make a decision.

You can upload documents to support your submission. Please make sure each page includes your name. The maximum file size is 200MB	See Upload File 1 section.
You can upload documents to support your submission. Please make sure each page includes your name. The maximum file size is 200MB	See Upload File 2 section.
If you would like to give feedback via a video, add a link to YouTube or Dropbox file below	See Upload File 3 section.
If you wish, you can also come to talk to Council about your submission at public sessions that are known as 'hearings'. Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at the Long-Term Plan hearings?	No
Please provide your phone number so we can contact you to schedule a hearing time	
Which age category are you in?	25-39

### Environmental Regulation and Protection

We are Canterbury's environmental regulator. We are responsible for managing natural resources including air, soil, water and land. We work with mana whenua, stakeholders, and communities to promote the sustainable management of these natural resources, and protect and enhance the health of our natural environment.

Question	Answer
----------	--------

We are proposing three options for funding our Environmental Regulation and Protection services. Please indicate which option you prefer	Option 1: Effective Environmental Regulation and Protection services with significant investment towards community funding (\$140.4m in 2024/25, Year 1)
Tell us more about the option you chose. What would you support and what would you suggest we change or do differently for Environmental Regulation and Protection?	We need to at least maintain funding for community groups. These groups, and the local knowledge and volunteers they bring, play a very valuable role in pest control and biodiversity enhancement. I am concerned that if we reduce funding to these groups we risk losing the gains we have made so far. Cutting funding for biodiversity at a time when it is under threat and more important to protect than ever is backwards. More broadly, the saying about prevention being better than cure applies here. The more we fund up-front, the less bad it will get, ultimately saving money (and species!) in the long run. I also ask that reduction of greenhouse gas emissions from industrial processes be added as a priority. These are some of our area's biggest emitters, but communities do not have the power/influence to make the necessary change - we need ECan to step in and push for the necessary change. Increasing compliance funding from Year 1 is also valuable; our regulations are only as effective as their enforcement.

### Targeted rate for Christchurch district biodiversity

We are proposing to fund more work to improve indigenous biodiversity outcomes in Christchurch and Banks Peninsula through a new targeted rate to properties in those areas.

This would be in addition to the work already funded through the existing regional rate. \$1million for this additional work is already included in Council's preferred option for Environmental Regulation and Protection services.

This equates to rates of 72 cents per year per \$100,000 of your property value.

If there is sufficient support for this new targeted rate, Council could decide to include this targeted rate regardless of whether Option 2 is ultimately accepted.

Question	Answer
Do you support this new biodiversity targeted rate?	Don't know
Tell us more about why you support / don't support this biodiversity rate?	I fully support funding permanent protection of priority habitats through covenants, pest control, and weed control. I answered 'Don't know' because I can't find anything that gives me a clear understanding of the reasoning for a targeted rate as opposed to funding these excellent initiatives across the entire region.

### Community Preparedness and Response to Hazards

We support the community to be prepared for, and be able to respond to hazards, and to be prepared for changes in the natural environment.

Question	Answer
We are proposing three options for funding our Community Preparedness and Response to Hazards services. Please indicate which option you prefer	Option 1: Effective and efficient Community Preparedness and Response to Hazards services with significant increase in investment. (\$52.4m in 2024/25, Year 1)
Tell us more about the option you chose. What would you support and what would	This is critical work. We cannot delay important prevention, preparedness, & response work. We are in a climate emergency and

you suggest we change or do differently for Community Preparedness and Response to Hazards?	need to be bracing ourselves for harsher and more frequent natural disasters. If necessary, consider some debt funding to reduce the impact on rates. We must not delay this important work.
---	--

### Targeted rate for Selwyn district for river resilience

We are proposing a trial in the Selwyn district to carry out additional flood and river resilience activities.

Existing schemes do not change.

The cost for this additional work will be through a targeted rate to all properties in the Selwyn district. \$200,000 for this additional work is already included in Council’s preferred option for Community Preparedness and Response to Hazards. This equates to rates of \$7.08 per rate-paying property in Selwyn district in 2024/25 (Year 1).

Question	Answer
Do you support a new river targeted rate in Selwyn?	Don't know
Tell us more about why you support / don't support this river rate	This is absolutely a valuable initiative (see above re climate change impacts) but as I am not a resident of the Selwyn district I don't feel I can answer.

### Public Transport

We provide urban bus services within the Canterbury region, and ferry services in Christchurch.

We do this because public transport increases accessibility, connects communities and contributes to significant environmental benefits such as reduced greenhouse gas emissions, better air quality, and improved travel times across the transport network.

Question	Answer
We are proposing three options to fund Public Transport services. Please indicate which option you prefer	Option 1: (Council’s preferred option) Full investment to our committed work programme of service improvements (\$160.8m in 2024/25, Year 1)
Tell us more about the option you chose. What would you support and what would you suggest we change or do differently for Public Transport?	We need to move people out of private cars and into public (or active) transport. This is the only way we will substantially cut our transport emissions. Reduced emissions have the added benefit of cleaner air, a quieter city (especially as there are more and more electric buses, yay!), and reduced congestion. Higher frequency and reliable bus services are the biggest motivators to get people making the switch. I like the goal of improving core route frequencies.

### Fees and Charges schedule

In order to make our consent-related costs more transparent, we are proposing a move towards a fixed-fee approach for some of our consenting work.

There are a number of benefits to this for our community including certainty of invoice amount, more timely invoicing, removing the need for a deposit and standard site visit costs will be built in.

Question	Answer
Do you agree with this new fees and charges proposal?	

Tell us more about why you support / don't support this approach. What would you support and what would you suggest we change or do differently?	
--	--

### Uniform Annual General Charge / Uniform Annual Charge (UAGC/UAC)

UAGC/UAC are flat charges that are applied at the same amount for every property, no matter the value of your property. We currently charge ratepayers \$54.49 (in the 2023/24 year) for a range of services funded by UAGC or targeted UAC. This income represents approximately 8% of the total amount of money we collect from rates.

Council's preferred option is to set the UAGC and UAC charges to approximately 8% of total rates each year of the Long-Term Plan. This means as rates rise in the future, the value of the UAGC/UAC component of rates will also rise.

Question	Answer
Which Uniform Annual General Charge/Uniform Annual Charge (UAGC/UAC) rate increase would you support?	
Tell us more about the option you chose. What would you suggest we change or do differently?	

### Strategies and policies

Question	Answer
We'd value your feedback on any of these strategies and policies	
Tell us what you think about the Financial Strategy	
Tell us what you think about the Revenue and Financing Policy	
Tell us what you think about the 30-Year Infrastructure Strategy 2024-54	
Tell us what you think about the Engagement, Significance and Māori Participation Policy	

### Upload File 1.

You can upload documents to support your submission. Please make sure each page includes your name. The maximum file size is 200MB. If Image uploaded, will be shown below, if document it will be saved separately to this PDF.



No Image uploaded

**Upload File 2.**

You can upload documents to support your submission. Please make sure each page includes your name. The maximum file size is 200MB. If Image uploaded, will be shown below, if document it will be saved separately to this PDF.



No Image uploaded

**Upload File 3.**

You can upload documents to support your submission. Please make sure each page includes your name. The maximum file size is 200MB. If Image uploaded, will be shown below, if document it will be saved separately to this PDF.



No Image uploaded