

| | |
|----------------------|------------------------|
| Response ID | 5582146 |
| Date of Contribution | 17/03/2024 04:18:40 PM |
| First Name | Suzanne |
| Last Name | Marshall |

Your contact details

| | |
|--|---------------------------------|
| Email Address | |
| Post Code | |
| Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation, association or community group? | No, it's my personal submission |
| Name of organisation, association or community group | |

Supporting your submission

Anyone can make a submission. All submissions will be considered by Council in accordance with our submissions policy before they make a decision.

| | |
|--|----------------------------|
| You can upload documents to support your submission. Please make sure each page includes your name. The maximum file size is 200MB | See Upload File 1 section. |
| You can upload documents to support your submission. Please make sure each page includes your name. The maximum file size is 200MB | See Upload File 2 section. |
| If you would like to give feedback via a video, add a link to YouTube or Dropbox file below | See Upload File 3 section. |
| If you wish, you can also come to talk to Council about your submission at public sessions that are known as 'hearings'. Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at the Long-Term Plan hearings? | No |
| Please provide your phone number so we can contact you to schedule a hearing time | |
| Which age category are you in? | 65+ |

Environmental Regulation and Protection

We are Canterbury's environmental regulator. We are responsible for managing natural resources including air, soil, water and land. We work with mana whenua, stakeholders, and communities to promote the sustainable management of these natural resources, and protect and enhance the health of our natural environment.

| | |
|----------|--------|
| Question | Answer |
|----------|--------|

| | |
|---|--|
| We are proposing three options for funding our Environmental Regulation and Protection services. Please indicate which option you prefer | Option 2: (Council's preferred option) Effective and efficient Environmental Regulation and Protection services with reduced investment towards community funding to manage cost pressures (\$134.9m in 2024/25, Year 1) |
| Tell us more about the option you chose. What would you support and what would you suggest we change or do differently for Environmental Regulation and Protection? | The rate payer needs to pay less cost should fall on all new Zealanders not just home owners |

Targeted rate for Christchurch district biodiversity

We are proposing to fund more work to improve indigenous biodiversity outcomes in Christchurch and Banks Peninsula through a new targeted rate to properties in those areas.

This would be in addition to the work already funded through the existing regional rate. \$1million for this additional work is already included in Council's preferred option for Environmental Regulation and Protection services.

This equates to rates of 72 cents per year per \$100,000 of your property value.

If there is sufficient support for this new targeted rate, Council could decide to include this targeted rate regardless of whether Option 2 is ultimately accepted.

| Question | Answer |
|--|---|
| Do you support this new biodiversity targeted rate? | No |
| Tell us more about why you support / don't support this biodiversity rate? | Maori are not indigenous to nz they migrated like the rest of us the money can be put to better use I don't pay rate to fund indigenous proposals |

Community Preparedness and Response to Hazards

We support the community to be prepared for, and be able to respond to hazards, and to be prepared for changes in the natural environment.

| Question | Answer |
|--|---|
| We are proposing three options for funding our Community Preparedness and Response to Hazards services. Please indicate which option you prefer | Option 2: (Council's preferred option) Effective Community Preparedness and Response to Hazards services with less additional investment and use of debt funding to manage cost pressures. (\$50.5M in 2024/25, Year 1) |
| Tell us more about the option you chose. What would you support and what would you suggest we change or do differently for Community Preparedness and Response to Hazards? | Insurance should be compulsory for all home owners no insurance no pay out rate payers shouldn't carry tge burden for those who want a free ride on the gravy train |

Targeted rate for Selwyn district for river resilience

We are proposing a trial in the Selwyn district to carry out additional flood and river resilience activities.

Existing schemes do not change.

The cost for this additional work will be through a targeted rate to all properties in the Selwyn district. \$200,000 for this additional work is already included in Council's preferred option for Community Preparedness and Response to Hazards. This equates to rates of \$7.08 per rate-paying property in Selwyn district in 2024/25 (Year 1).

| Question | Answer |
|----------|--------|
| | |

| | |
|--|---|
| Do you support a new river targeted rate in Selwyn? | No |
| Tell us more about why you support / don't support this river rate | Everyone should pay not just rate payers your councils seem to be under the misapprehension that only people who own homes use facilities and have floods or earthquakes or use roads time we abolished rates and bought in a general tax for all |

Public Transport

We provide urban bus services within the Canterbury region, and ferry services in Christchurch.

We do this because public transport increases accessibility, connects communities and contributes to significant environmental benefits such as reduced greenhouse gas emissions, better air quality, and improved travel times across the transport network.

| Question | Answer |
|--|--|
| We are proposing three options to fund Public Transport services. Please indicate which option you prefer | Option 1: (Council's preferred option) Full investment to our committed work programme of service improvements (\$160.8m in 2024/25, Year 1) |
| Tell us more about the option you chose. What would you support and what would you suggest we change or do differently for Public Transport? | Yes we need more public transport but once again the user should pay not the rate payer |

Fees and Charges schedule

In order to make our consent-related costs more transparent, we are proposing a move towards a fixed-fee approach for some of our consenting work.

There are a number of benefits to this for our community including certainty of invoice amount, more timely invoicing, removing the need for a deposit and standard site visit costs will be built in.

| Question | Answer |
|--|--|
| Do you agree with this new fees and charges proposal? | No |
| Tell us more about why you support / don't support this approach. What would you support and what would you suggest we change or do differently? | Rates are an archaic outdated feudal system of collecting taxes from hard working home owners abolish the rates system and bring in a general rate for all 5.5 million in this country make it fair for all not just over burden a few |

Uniform Annual General Charge / Uniform Annual Charge (UAGC/UAC)

UAGC/UAC are flat charges that are applied at the same amount for every property, no matter the value of your property. We currently charge ratepayers \$54.49 (in the 2023/24 year) for a range of services funded by UAGC or targeted UAC. This income represents approximately 8% of the total amount of money we collect from rates.

Council's preferred option is to set the UAGC and UAC charges to approximately 8% of total rates each year of the Long-Term Plan. This means as rates rise in the future, the value of the UAGC/UAC component of rates will also rise.

| Question | Answer |
|---|------------------------------|
| Which Uniform Annual General Charge/Uniform Annual Charge | Neither of the above options |

| | |
|--|---|
| (UAGC/UAC) rate increase would you support? | |
| Tell us more about the option you chose. What would you suggest we change or do differently? | No rates are too much already system needs a overhaul |

Strategies and policies

| Question | Answer |
|--|--|
| We'd value your feedback on any of these strategies and policies | Financial Strategy, Revenue and Financing Policy, 30-Year Infrastructure Strategy 2024-54, Engagement, Significance and Māori Participation Policy |
| Tell us what you think about the Financial Strategy | Very poor taxing home owners again many of us who are old and can ill afford it |
| Tell us what you think about the Revenue and Financing Policy | Restructure rating system general rate for all kiwis |
| Tell us what you think about the 30-Year Infrastructure Strategy 2024-54 | Good idea but all should contribute not just home owners |
| Tell us what you think about the Engagement, Significance and Māori Participation Policy | Totally against it we are all one people one country reverse racism once again in nz |

Upload File 1.

You can upload documents to support your submission. Please make sure each page includes your name. The maximum file size is 200MB. If Image uploaded, will be shown below, if document it will be saved separately to this PDF.



No Image uploaded

Upload File 2.

You can upload documents to support your submission. Please make sure each page includes your name. The maximum file size is 200MB. If image uploaded, will be shown below, if document it will be saved separately to this PDF.



No Image uploaded

Upload File 3.

You can upload documents to support your submission. Please make sure each page includes your name. The maximum file size is 200MB. If image uploaded, will be shown below, if document it will be saved separately to this PDF.

(8)

No Image uploaded