
From: Environment Canterbury
Sent: Tuesday, 20 February 2024 6:24 pm
To:
Subject: Regional Land Transport Plan submission

Anonymous User just submitted the survey 'Draft Regional Land Transport Plan submission' with the responses below.

First name

Paul

Surname

Williams

Email address

Are you giving feedback on behalf of an organisation?

No

Select your local city or district council:

Waimakariri District Council

Tell us how important each of these objectives is to you:

| | |
|--------------------|--------------------|
| Maintenance | Not very important |
| Resilience | Not very important |
| Emissions | Not very important |
| Growth | Not very important |
| Safety | Neutral |
| Freight | Not very important |

If you think we should consider other objectives, please describe what they are and why they should be considered:

Should be user pays

Target 1: 40% reduction in deaths and serious injuries on Canterbury roads by 2030

Get real. This is not going to happen as an accident just happens.

Target 2: 41% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions from land transport in Canterbury by 2035

Go electric and use coal to generate electricity or carbon fibre bladed turbines that have a use by date that have a problem of being disposed of.

Target 3: 100% increase in tonnage of freight moved by rail in Canterbury by 2034

It would be great to have an efficient rail system but I can't see that happening.

Tell us how important each of these priorities is to you:

| | |
|---|--------------------|
| Create a well-maintained network | Neutral |
| Manage risk of exposure to extreme events | Neutral |
| Support and develop connected public and active transport networks | Not very important |
| Implementing safer systems (Road to Zero) | Not very important |
| Support and develop freight systems connecting to air, rail and sea | Neutral |

Are there specific actions you think should be taken to help achieve these priorities?

Nz is too small to have ideological transport system as as a population we are spread too far apart. Roads and public parking is the only logical way until a different means of transport comes onto the market.

Do you support investigating alternative approaches to fund transport system improvements?

No

What sources of funding do you think could be used to pay for regionally significant improvements:

User should pay for what the user users . Why should others pay for a flawed system

Are these the right measures of success?

No, these are not the right measures.

Do you have any other comments that you would like to make on the draft Plan?

Keep all costs low. Money is hard to come by unless you force costs on people.

Would you like to speak to your submission at a hearing in March?

No
