
From: Environment Canterbury
Sent: Tuesday, 20 February 2024 7:16 pm
To:
Subject: Regional Land Transport Plan submission

Anonymous User just submitted the survey 'Draft Regional Land Transport Plan submission' with the responses below.

First name

Peter

Surname

Earl

Email address

Are you giving feedback on behalf of an organisation?

No

Select your local city or district council:

Christchurch City Council

Tell us how important each of these objectives is to you:

Maintenance	Not important
Resilience	Not important
Emissions	Very important
Growth	Not important
Safety	Very important
Freight	Very important

If you think we should consider other objectives, please describe what they are and why they should be considered:

No further investment in car infrastructure, invest in wider foot paths, bike lanes, public transport and trains.

Target 1: 40% reduction in deaths and serious injuries on Canterbury roads by 2030

If the majority of people biked, walked and used public transport this could be close to zero

Target 2: 41% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions from land transport in Canterbury by 2035

You could reach this target easily by investing in walkability and bikeability. In fact christchurch used to be covered in fully electric trams 100 years ago, theres no excuses

Target 3: 100% increase in tonnage of freight moved by rail in Canterbury by 2034

Very nice, what about passenger rail? I want to be able to take the train to rollesterton, rangioura, kaiapoi or even nelson and dunedin?

Tell us how important each of these priorities is to you:

Create a well-maintained network	Not important
Manage risk of exposure to extreme events	Not important
Support and develop connected public and active transport networks	Very important
Implementing safer systems (Road to Zero)	Very important
Support and develop freight systems connecting to air, rail and sea	Very important

Are there specific actions you think should be taken to help achieve these priorities?

Car infrastructure is insanely inefficient and expensive. Its bad for our mental health, physical health, climate change and cost of living. People think its cheap because its indirectly subsidised

Do you support investigating alternative approaches to fund transport system improvements?

Yes

What sources of funding do you think could be used to pay for regionally significant improvements:

Fuel tax. Roads should be 100% funded by fossil fuel cars to disincentivise their use and pay for the damage they cause

Are these the right measures of success?

Don't know

Are there other monitoring indicators or measures of success that you think should be included?

Peoples physical health, deaths caused by obesity etc, per capita infrastructure cost, deaths caused by emissions

Do you have any other comments that you would like to make on the draft Plan?

More bike lanes, people only streets, passenger rail

Would you like to speak to your submission at a hearing in March?

No
