
From: Environment Canterbury
Sent: Wednesday, 21 February 2024 5:04 pm
To:
Subject: Regional Land Transport Plan submission

jono_dw just submitted the survey 'Draft Regional Land Transport Plan submission' with the responses below.

First name

Jono

Surname

de Wit

Email address

Are you giving feedback on behalf of an organisation?

No

Select your local city or district council:

Christchurch City Council

Tell us how important each of these objectives is to you:

Maintenance	Important
Resilience	Important
Emissions	Very important
Growth	Very important
Safety	Very important
Freight	Important

If you think we should consider other objectives, please describe what they are and why they should be considered:

Choice. Current transport network has been focussed way too much on cars only for too long. Need to focus on giving people better choices in the way to travel.

Target 1: 40% reduction in deaths and serious injuries on Canterbury roads by 2030

Could be more ambitious here

Target 2: 41% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions from land transport in Canterbury by 2035

Could be much more ambitious here

Target 3: 100% increase in tonnage of freight moved by rail in Canterbury by 2034

Could be much more ambitious here

Tell us how important each of these priorities is to you:

Create a well-maintained network	Important
Manage risk of exposure to extreme events	Important
Support and develop connected public and active transport networks	Very important
Implementing safer systems (Road to Zero)	Very important
Support and develop freight systems connecting to air, rail and sea	Important

Are there specific actions you think should be taken to help achieve these priorities?

Much more investment in public transport and safe walking and cycle infrastructure

Do you support investigating alternative approaches to fund transport system improvements?

Yes

What sources of funding do you think could be used to pay for regionally significant improvements:

Regional petrol tax

Are these the right measures of success?

No, these are not the right measures.

Are there other monitoring indicators or measures of success that you think should be included?

Public transport frequency and average speeds. And PT punctuality throughout the route not just at the start.

Would you like to speak to your submission at a hearing in March?

No
