
From: Environment Canterbury
Sent: Monday, 26 February 2024 2:31 pm
To:
Subject: Regional Land Transport Plan submission

Anonymous User just submitted the survey 'Draft Regional Land Transport Plan submission' with the responses below.

First name

Rosemary

Surname

Neave

Email address

Are you giving feedback on behalf of an organisation?

No

Select your local city or district council:

Christchurch City Council

Tell us how important each of these objectives is to you:

Maintenance	Important
Resilience	Important
Emissions	Very important
Growth	Neutral
Safety	Important
Freight	Important

Target 1: 40% reduction in deaths and serious injuries on Canterbury roads by 2030

Separation of cyclist from traffic is high priority for both safety and encouraging people to use cycling more. Especially children, young people and older folk on ebikes.

Target 2: 41% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions from land transport in Canterbury by 2035

Laudable, but I can not see a plan to get there

Target 3: 100% increase in tonnage of freight moved by rail in Canterbury by 2034

Laudable, but I can not see a plan to get there

Tell us how important each of these priorities is to you:

Create a well-maintained network	Important
Manage risk of exposure to extreme events	Important
Support and develop connected public and active transport networks	Very important
Implementing safer systems (Road to Zero)	Very important
Support and develop freight systems connecting to air, rail and sea	Important

Are there specific actions you think should be taken to help achieve these priorities?

No Answer

Do you support investigating alternative approaches to fund transport system improvements?

Yes

What sources of funding do you think could be used to pay for regionally significant improvements:

It is essential that central government steps up to rebuild the relationship with local and regional government and in particular look at alternative funding models instead of current passing the buck on who pays. Housing built out of Chch city needs to have a transport levy built in at development level.

Are these the right measures of success?

Yes, these are the right measures.

Are there other monitoring indicators or measures of success that you think should be included?

Transport impacts on Wellbeing - should include the active recreation as a measure (not just commuting) - walking and cycling increase. I live near coastal pathway and patronage walking and cycling is increasing all the time.

Do you have any other comments that you would like to make on the draft Plan?

Becoming a climate ready district needs to be a clear focus with implications on how we build our cities (up and not out), how we get around our cities, and how resilient we are to climate events.

Would you like to speak to your submission at a hearing in March?

No
