
From: Environment Canterbury
Sent: Monday, 26 February 2024 4:58 pm
To:
Subject: Regional Land Transport Plan submission

Anonymous User just submitted the survey 'Draft Regional Land Transport Plan submission' with the responses below.

First name

Wayne

Surname

Phillips

Email address

Are you giving feedback on behalf of an organisation?

No

Select your local city or district council:

Christchurch City Council

Tell us how important each of these objectives is to you:

Maintenance	Neutral
Resilience	Important
Emissions	Very important
Growth	Not very important
Safety	Very important
Freight	Important

If you think we should consider other objectives, please describe what they are and why they should be considered:

I think that continuity and reliability can be considered. With the specific context that this plan and its stated objectives appear (rightly or wrongly) to be a change to what has been put forward previously, and appears to be responding to a change of Mayor and of central government. We can't keep changing every time

Target 1: 40% reduction in deaths and serious injuries on Canterbury roads by 2030

The best ways to reduce deaths and injuries are to slow people down and make the consequences of making a mistake while on the road less deadly

Target 2: 41% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions from land transport in Canterbury by 2035

Hmmm does this plan you're putting forward achieve this? I don't think it does, and am sure that prioritising road projects at the expense of active travel is sabotaging this goal

Target 3: 100% increase in tonnage of freight moved by rail in Canterbury by 2034

Great idea

Tell us how important each of these priorities is to you:

Create a well-maintained network	Neutral
Manage risk of exposure to extreme events	Important
Support and develop connected public and active transport networks	Very important
Implementing safer systems (Road to Zero)	Very important
Support and develop freight systems connecting to air, rail and sea	Important

Are there specific actions you think should be taken to help achieve these priorities?

This revised RLTP bumps roading projects up the list and buries the lack of investment in active travel. That is not good and it is hard to understand how it happened. Who is asking for that? Mayor Mauger? The process is opaque

Do you support investigating alternative approaches to fund transport system improvements?

Yes

What sources of funding do you think could be used to pay for regionally significant improvements:

Demand management (pay to use roads) with that funding being ring fenced for public transport and active travel

Are these the right measures of success?

Don't know

Do you have any other comments that you would like to make on the draft Plan?

As I said earlier, compared with previous versions of the RLTP this is very different and it's not clear how mega projects like the Woodend Bypass has been bumped up without any analysis on whether its the best use of money, while other projects such as the long promised cycleways are having their funding reduced while that reduction is obscured by changing the way the funding is shown in the plan. Very disappointed with this plan's emphasis. by the way, dropping Pages Road is embarrassing and a poor outcome for the City and its inhabitants

Would you like to speak to your submission at a hearing in March?

No
