From:	Environment Canterbury
Sent:	Tuesday, 20 February 2024 10:06 am
То:	
Subject:	Regional Land Transport Plan submission
Anonymous User j below.	ust submitted the survey 'Draft Regional Land Transport Plan submission' with the responses
below.	
First name	
Darren	
Surname	
Patterson	
Email address	
Are you giving fee	dback on behalf of an organisation?
Select your local c	ity or district council:
Christchurch City (Council
Tell us how impo	ortant each of these objectives is to you:
Maintenance	Neutral

Neutral
Important
Very important
Neutral
Important
Important

If you think we should consider other objectives, please describe what they are and why they should be considered:

Better alternatives to car and light vehicle transport. le more cycleways. public transport and freight travelling on rail.

Target 2: 41% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions from land transport in Canterbury by 2035

This should be higher and at least 505 by 2030.

Target 3: 100% increase in tonnage of freight moved by rail in Canterbury by 2034

With the forecast increase in freight a doubling of tonnage moved by rail may not be a significant reduction in freight transported by road. A better target would be 70% of all freight (by weight) transported into and out of the city is carried by rail.

Tell us how important each of these priorities is to you:

Create a well-maintained network	Neutral
Manage risk of exposure to extreme events	Important
Support and develop connected public and active transport networks	Very important
Implementing safer systems (Road to Zero)	Very important
Support and develop freight systems connecting to air, rail and sea	Important

Are there specific actions you think should be taken to help achieve these priorities?

Significantly reducing the amount of freight transported on our roads.

Do you support investigating alternative approaches to fund transport system improvements?

Yes

What sources of funding do you think could be used to pay for regionally significant improvements:

Additional road user charges for light and heavy commercial transport. Charges that are diverted to reduce the cost of rail freight.

Are these the right measures of success?
No, these are not the right measures.
Are there other monitoring indicators or measures of success that you think should be included?
There is no indicator for emission reduction. This is essential to know how we are tracking to meet any 2030 or 2050 target.
Would you like to speak to your submission at a hearing in March?
No