
From: Environment Canterbury
Sent: Sunday, 11 February 2024 12:54 pm
To:
Subject: Regional Land Transport Plan submission

Anonymous User just submitted the survey 'Draft Regional Land Transport Plan submission' with the responses below.

First name

Frances

Surname

Schmechel

Email address

Are you giving feedback on behalf of an organisation?

No

Select your local city or district council:

Christchurch City Council

Tell us how important each of these objectives is to you:

Maintenance	Not very important
Resilience	Important
Emissions	Very important
Growth	Important
Safety	Important
Freight	Important

Target 1: 40% reduction in deaths and serious injuries on Canterbury roads by 2030

Would especially support reducing risks to cyclists, school children (on bikes or walking), and pedestrians.

Target 2: 41% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions from land transport in Canterbury by 2035

Support even stronger targets for this.

Target 3: 100% increase in tonnage of freight moved by rail in Canterbury by 2034

Strongly support for several reasons (road safety and congestion, 70% less emissions).
<https://www.kiwirail.co.nz/who-we-are/sustainability/environment/>

Tell us how important each of these priorities is to you:

Create a well-maintained network	Not very important
Manage risk of exposure to extreme events	Important
Support and develop connected public and active transport networks	Very important
Implementing safer systems (Road to Zero)	Very important
Support and develop freight systems connecting to air, rail and sea	Very important

Are there specific actions you think should be taken to help achieve these priorities?

Support transition to less car use and more alternative ways of moving around safely.

Do you support investigating alternative approaches to fund transport system improvements?

Yes

What sources of funding do you think could be used to pay for regionally significant improvements:

Congestion charges (but may be issues with equity, charging those who don't have many options and lower incomes - if so I would not support as much), tolls (support as would be for new roads presumably and therefore not essential - more convenient). Ambivalent about public private partnerships - risk of socialise the risk and privatizing the profit. But can have advantages (e.g. longer term certainty) <https://newsroom.co.nz/2023/08/20/reconfiguring-the-ppp-model-to-work-for-nz-infrastructure/>

Are these the right measures of success?

Don't know

Are there other monitoring indicators or measures of success that you think should be included?

That link was blocked for me so couldn't look at the measures.

Would you like to speak to your submission at a hearing in March?

Yes

Please provide a contact phone number so we can arrange a suitable time for you to speak at a hearing:
