

---

**From:** Environment Canterbury  
**Sent:** Saturday, 3 February 2024 3:34 pm  
**To:**  
**Subject:** Regional Land Transport Plan submission

Anonymous User just submitted the survey 'Draft Regional Land Transport Plan submission' with the responses below.

**First name**

Murray

---

**Surname**

Dickinson

---

**Email address**

---

**Are you giving feedback on behalf of an organisation?**

No

---

**Select your local city or district council:**

Christchurch City Council

---

**Tell us how important each of these objectives is to you:**

Maintenance	Very important
Resilience	Important
Emissions	Not very important
Growth	Neutral
Safety	Not important
Freight	Important

---

**Target 1: 40% reduction in deaths and serious injuries on Canterbury roads by 2030**

ECan doesn't have a role in road safety. Focus should be on climate resilience. Spending money on road safety is not a priority.

---

**Target 2: 41% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions from land transport in Canterbury by 2035**

Certainly more important than road safety, but hard to see how ECan can achieve this or even make a significant impact. not a priority

---

**Target 3: 100% increase in tonnage of freight moved by rail in Canterbury by 2034**

Irrelevant. Commercial will respond to commercial factors. Rail is point to point. And if it is efficient, business is already doing it. Not a priority, as ECan can't influence this.

---

**Tell us how important each of these priorities is to you:**

Create a well-maintained network	Very important
Manage risk of exposure to extreme events	Very important
Support and develop connected public and active transport networks	Neutral
Implementing safer systems (Road to Zero)	Not important
Support and develop freight systems connecting to air, rail and sea	Not important

---

**Are there specific actions you think should be taken to help achieve these priorities?**

Prepare transport network for climate resilience. This is the priority. Safety can and Freight can take a backseat. Cyclone Gabrielle (should it have hit Canterbury) should be primary in your thoughts.

---

**Do you support investigating alternative approaches to fund transport system improvements?**

No

---

**What sources of funding do you think could be used to pay for regionally significant improvements:**

If this is Public Transport, user pays. I don't support "targetted rates" or special rates.

---

**Are these the right measures of success?**

No, these are not the right measures.

---

**Are there other monitoring indicators or measures of success that you think should be included?**

Measure usage of Cycle ways and walking tracks. It was quite usual for ECan & CCC to have people out counting cars. This should be done for cycleways and walking tracks. It would see a baseline of utilisation. Rather than just how much you have spent on these facilities.

---

**Would you like to speak to your submission at a hearing in March?**

No

---