From:	Environment Canterbury		
Sent:	Thursday, 1 February 2024 11:49 am		
То:			
Subject:	Regional Land Transport Plan submission		

Anonymous User just submitted the survey 'Draft Regional Land Transport Plan submission' with the responses below.

First name

Hannah

Surname

Eddy

Email address

Are you giving feedback on behalf of an organisation?

No

Select your local city or district council:

Selwyn District Council

Tell us how important each of these objectives is to you:

Maintenance	Important
Resilience	Very important
Emissions	Very important
Growth	Important
Safety	Very important
Freight	Important

If you think we should consider other objectives, please describe what they are and why they should be considered:

There should be a prioritization of getting people off our roads and into public transport by prioritizing improving infrastructure (ie light rail).

Target 1: 40% reduction in deaths and serious injuries on Canterbury roads by 2030

I think this should be increased to 50% or greater.

Target 2: 41% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions from land transport in Canterbury by 2035

as above, I think this should be increased to 50% or greater.

Tell us how important each of these priorities is to you:

Create a well-maintained network	Important
Manage risk of exposure to extreme events	Very important
Support and develop connected public and active transport networks	Very important
Implementing safer systems (Road to Zero)	Very important
Support and develop freight systems connecting to air, rail and sea	Important

Are there specific actions you think should be taken to help achieve these priorities?

Greater investment in public transport networks and infrastructure (light rail). Providing additional public transport services to areas with increased demand - looking at the hotspots of public transport usage and increasing accordingly. Provide frequent and reliable public transport options for areas north and south of CHCH where there is a large population of commuters. The transport essentially has to be cheaper and easier to access.

Do you support investigating alternative approaches to fund transport system improvements?

Yes

What sources of funding do you think could be used to pay for regionally significant improvements:

Tolls for people driving into the city during peak hour traffic. Increased charges for carparking in city during business hours Monday - Friday. I would accept an increase to tax regionally if the tax adequately addressed the need, ie currently there is a very limited bus service in Selwyn, but bus usage in selwyn has almost doubled in the last 4

years. Looking regionally, bus usage is still catching up to pre-covid levels, but looking specifically to hotspots (ie Rolleston, Darfield, Lincoln etc), bus usage is increasing a lot. With that in mind - a tax would be acceptable if it led to increased service in these hotspots, however, if it was applied across the region (ie increased bus services in CHCH when there are already many options and less growth in usage) than I would think a tax would not be acceptable.

Are these the right measures of success?

Yes, these are the right measures.

Are there other monitoring indicators or measures of success that you think should be included?

Reduction in cars on the road during peak traffic times. Increased public transport usage during peak traffic times.

Would you like to speak to your submission at a hearing in March?

No