| From: | Environment Canterbury Tuesday, 30 January 2024 9:59 am | |
|----------|--|--|
| Sent: | | |
| То: | | |
| Subject: | Regional Land Transport Plan submission | |

Anonymous User just submitted the survey 'Draft Regional Land Transport Plan submission' with the responses below.

First name

John

Surname

Kruiniger

Email address

Are you giving feedback on behalf of an organisation?

No

Select your local city or district council:

Christchurch City Council

Tell us how important each of these objectives is to you:

| Maintenance | Very important |
|-------------|----------------|
| Resilience | Important |
| Emissions | Not important |
| Growth | Important |
| Safety | Not important |
| Freight | Neutral |

If you think we should consider other objectives, please describe what they are and why they should be considered:

Cost. Develop a design and choose plans that minimize cost.

Target 1: 40% reduction in deaths and serious injuries on Canterbury roads by 2030

Reducing deaths by building roads is like reducing blisters by building tramping tracks. The blisters get reduced by better shoes [cars] and better educations/decisions by walkers [drivers]. This should not be a target.

Target 2: 41% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions from land transport in Canterbury by 2035

This sort of thing needs to be done at a global level; what CCC does is irrelevant. This should not be a target.

Target 3: 100% increase in tonnage of freight moved by rail in Canterbury by 2034

Would be nice.

Tell us how important each of these priorities is to you:

| Create a well-maintained network | Very important |
|---|----------------|
| Manage risk of exposure to extreme events | Important |
| Support and develop connected public and active transport networks | Neutral |
| Implementing safer systems (Road to Zero) | Not important |
| Support and develop freight systems connecting to air, rail and sea | Important |

Are there specific actions you think should be taken to help achieve these priorities?

Make better spending decisions.

Do you support investigating alternative approaches to fund transport system improvements?

No

What sources of funding do you think could be used to pay for regionally significant improvements:

lay off staff and save their salaries. Buy fewer road cones. Dispense with 90% of those stop/go men.

Are these the right measures of success?

No, these are not the right measures.

Are there other monitoring indicators or measures of success that you think should be included?

Feedback from motorists, giving a score whether they are happy or not with the roads.

Would you like to speak to your submission at a hearing in March?

No