
From: Environment Canterbury
Sent: Friday, 26 January 2024 4:20 pm
To:
Subject: Regional Land Transport Plan submission

Anonymous User just submitted the survey 'Draft Regional Land Transport Plan submission' with the responses below.

First name

lorraine

Surname

green

Email address

Are you giving feedback on behalf of an organisation?

No

Select your local city or district council:

Waimate District Council

Tell us how important each of these objectives is to you:

Maintenance	Neutral
Resilience	Neutral
Emissions	Neutral
Growth	Important
Safety	Important
Freight	Neutral

If you think we should consider other objectives, please describe what they are and why they should be considered:

I think overall the amount of cost to the ratepayers is an issue. I know that costs of councillors expenses need to be looked at.

Target 1: 40% reduction in deaths and serious injuries on Canterbury roads by 2030

These tragic results are driver faults, not road or safety. Roads do not kill , unattentive drivers do.

Target 2: 41% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions from land transport in Canterbury by 2035

This is unrealistic. In a small country we do what we can.

Target 3: 100% increase in tonnage of freight moved by rail in Canterbury by 2034

Rail is our best way of moving forward, not just freight but also passenger services.

Tell us how important each of these priorities is to you:

Create a well-maintained network	Important
Manage risk of exposure to extreme events	Important
Support and develop connected public and active transport networks	Important
Implementing safer systems (Road to Zero)	Neutral
Support and develop freight systems connecting to air, rail and sea	Very important

Are there specific actions you think should be taken to help achieve these priorities?

Yes the amount spent in our councils , regarding unnecessary costs - meetings, catering for the food, alcohol, trips away is daylight robbery. So the priorities can be met quite within councils so called budget .

Do you support investigating alternative approaches to fund transport system improvements?

No

What sources of funding do you think could be used to pay for regionally significant improvements:

Expenditure of councillors and their meetings is top of the list for cutting costs to pay for the improvements.

Are these the right measures of success?

No, these are not the right measures.

Are there other monitoring indicators or measures of success that you think should be included?

Yes, straight forward clarity on councils spending to the ratepayers.

Do you have any other comments that you would like to make on the draft Plan?

Do not raise rates of the homeowners to your benefit.

Would you like to speak to your submission at a hearing in March?

Yes

Please provide a contact phone number so we can arrange a suitable time for you to speak at a hearing:

No Answer
