Stewart Fletcher

From: Stewart Fletcher <stewart@fletcherconsulting.co.nz>

Friday, 6 May 2022 1:05 PM Sent:

To: 'Darryn Shepherd'

'martin@pinkham.co.nz'; 'lan Carstens'; 'wendy.harris@wmk.govt.nz' Cc:

Subject: Review of Information Provided in RFI

Attachments: Potentially Affected Parties.PNG

Good afternoon Darryn

Thank you for your response to the request for further information for the proposed landfill activity.

As per our discussion, we have reviewed the information and respond as follows:

- In the provision of additional plans, which includes information for the container pick up / drop off area etc, we are conscious that as resource consent is required for the landfill activity as a whole this will include all ancillary facilities such as buildings etc. It is therefore recommended you consider whether the proposal represents all facilities and buildings that you will seek to establish as part of the activity, such as whether separate staff facilities including ablution blocks will be sought.
- In assessing your response to how the activity will operate in conjunction with the quarry it is considered there is a risk that landfill material received may exceed quarry material exported from the site. While it is acknowledged that a 'buffer' is available due to the quarry excavations which have already occurred it would be appreciated if you can provide further comment on how this potential issue would be managed.
- Several of the questions in the request for further information overlap with matters that Environment Canterbury staff are also considering. While we are comfortable for Environment Canterbury staff to lead consideration of these issues we naturally need to ensure they are adequately addressed before being able to confirm that they have also been satisfied for our purposes. It is therefore suggested that those matters currently being addressed by Environment Canterbury, particularly including items 14, 15 and 21 of your response, still need to be resolved to satisfy the request for further information but at the same time we are not currently asking you to provide further clarification of these issues, instead we are simply requiring clarification from Environment Canterbury that the information is adequate.
- In your response to the question regarding fire risk you refer to the provision of water tanks and hydrants. Could you please provide a plan identifying the location of the tanks and hydrants and in doing so please consider the position and visibility of the tanks.
- Information has been provided regarding stormwater control. This includes the identification of a stormwater pond at the container terminal. Could you please confirm what calculations were undertaken to determine the size of the pond area and in doing so please also confirm that similar calculations were undertaken by a suitably qualified person for the other pond areas.

On the basis of the above points, I advise that while most matters have been addressed, the further information request has not yet been satisfied and the application remains on hold.

With regards to the question of roads we confirm that the information you have provided in response to the request for further information is adequate to satisfy the request. That said, we would like to encourage continued discussions around the formation of Woodstock and Trig Roads including where any necessary improvements may be required such as the surfacing of the roads. It is suggested that further investigations and discussions could be undertaken, including discussions with the Council Roading Manager by the applicant, and a more detailed arrangement could be is provided for roading. This will enable the potential roading effects of the proposal to be more accurately addressed including potential effects on surrounding landowners.

In addition to the above, consideration has been given to the question of potentially affected parties. While it is not possible to confirm this exactly until a full notification assessment has been undertaken, on the basis of information provided to date it is considered likely that all properties which adjoin or gain access from Trig Road will be considered to be potentially affected together with those properties in close proximity to the landfill area. A map is attached which identifies relevant properties. I reiterate that this is only an initial indication and a final formal assessment is yet to be undertaken. I also note that it is considered that local iwi and Fire Emergency New Zealand could also be considered to be potentially affected.

If you have any queries or wish to discuss the above please feel free to get in contact.

Kind regards Stewart Fletcher



4 Primrose Hill Lane, CHRISTCHURCH 8051

Cell: 021 0234 6903; Email: stewart@fletcherconsulting.co.nz;

Web: www.fletcherconsulting.co.nz