

From: Environment Canterbury
Sent: Friday, 1 April 2022 12:32 pm
To: Have your Say
Subject: Submission on draft Annual Plan 2022/23

Anonymous User just submitted 'Draft Annual Plan 2022/23 submission' with the responses below.

First name

Roelant

Last name

Hofmans

Email address

Suburb

Hillsborough, Christchurch

Phone number

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?

No, I'm submitting as an individual

Which age category are you in?

40-64 years old

Do you wish to speak to your submission at a Council hearing?

No

Which bus fare structure option would you like to see trialled?

Option 2: \$2 flat fare for adults and \$1.20 for children across bus zones 1, 2 and 3

Tell us more about why you support the selected bus fare option.

Options 1 and 3 won't get me out of the car. Option 2 will do more to encourage me to visit the CBD. Option 2 is an equalizer. When something is free, it has less perceived value.

Any other comments on bus fares?

Options 1 and 3 are aimed at those with the least disposable income and create a 'class' of public transport consumers. I want a public transport system similar to that of Sydney: where there is no stigma attached.

Is borrowing and repaying through general rates the right approach for this regionally significant event?

No

How else might we pay for our share of this work?

The rivers should be allowed to run their course within reason. The increased flooding and erosion risks associated with the modification and denuding of the river catchments should be borne by the actors. Cause and effect, user pays.

How do you think recovery from flooding of this scale – events that impact state highways, bridges, rail and power for example – should be funded in the future?

Businesses and organisations impacting the upstream ability of the landscape to absorb higher rainfalls should be paying. Cause and effect. It should not be the downstream taxpayers - who have little say in upstream developments - footing the bill. Every time a bridge is repaired, there should be significant effort to allow the upstream catchment to retain the moisture longer, by planting.

Which option for distribution of rates in Ashburton River rating district do you support?

Option 2 (status quo): Keep the current distribution of rates in the Ashburton River rating district.

Any other comments on flood protection in Ashburton?

Reverse the upstream erosion, reverse the upstream denuding, and the potential for downstream flooding is reduced.

Would you support a levy to accelerate action in response to climate change?

Don't know

Any other comments on future funding for responding to climate change?

Responding to climate change should be a Whole-of-Government initiative in the first instance. Those responsible for exacerbating risks should be carrying a larger financial risk.

Any other comments on Environment Canterbury's draft Annual Plan or other matters?

ECAN responsibility should be to make the local polluters pay. Encourage decentralised power generation, encourage rainwater harvesting, encourage eco-friendly small-holdings, encourage native enclaves, encourage agriculture. Discourage dairy.

Where did you hear about the consultation?

Email
