From:	Environment Canterbury					
Sent:	Friday, 1 April 2022 2:18 pm					
To:	Have your Say					
Subject:						
Anonymous User just so	ubmitted 'Draft Annual Plan 2022/23 submission' with the responses below.					
First name						
Alison						
Last name						
Ross						
Email address						
Suburb Lyttelton, Lyttelton						
Phone number						
Are you submitting on	behalf of an organisation?					
No, I'm submitting as a	n individual					
Which age category are	e you in?					
55+ years old						

Do you wish to speak to your submission at a Council hearing?
Yes
Which bus fare structure option would you like to see trialled?
Option 1 (preferred): Fare-free for under 25s, students, Total Mobility and Community Services card holders
Tell us more about why you support the selected bus fare option.
. am 78 and although I prefer bus ravel to car usage the Gold Card restriction to between 9.00am and 3.00pm is often impractical, particularly when one wishes to visit more than on retail outlet in various parts of the city.
Any other comments on bus fares?
This is not so much a comment on fares rather the route review provisionally named Port to Port. This is an excellent innovation, but as I have submitted on previously under the former chairmanship of Mr. Steve Lowndes, Lyttelton township, other than the lower roads of Winchester Street and Norwich Quay had no service to the upper area of the township, which prevents the elderly, such as myself, using the service because of mobility issues. I have previously suggested the smaller buses used during off-peak hours could follow a route to service the hill areas of the township, following a route left to Dublin St, right to Jacksons Road, right to Upham Terrace, left to Cornwall Road, right to Days Road, and following down St Davids Street, right to Exeter Street then left down Oxford Street to arrive at the wharf terminus. I and others cannot access the Lyttelton bus service if we must carry our purchases up from Winchester Street, the closest access point to the homes in upper Lyttelton. Please don't defend the inability of buses to access this suggested route as large articulated trucks use these roads daily.
Is borrowing and repaying through general rates the right approach for this regionally significant event?
Yes

Option 1 (preferred): Redistribute rates in the Ashburton River rating district.					
Any other comments on flood protection in Ashburton? no further comment					
Would you support a levy to accelerate action in response to climate change? Yes					
What current or future projects or activities would you like to see funded by such a levy? Habitat maintenance and extension to promote avian life					
Any other comments on future funding for responding to climate change? Accelerate parking and driving levies to promote bus usage and to discourage the sue of private transport.					
Any other comments on Environment Canterbury's draft Annual Plan or other matters? Note my comments above on the proposed Port to Port bus route.					
If you would like to give feedback via video, add a link to YouTube clip or Dropbox file below I do not support social media					
Where did you hear about the consultation? Email Postcard					