

From: Environment Canterbury
Sent: Sunday, 3 April 2022 4:28 pm
To: Have your Say
Subject: Submission on draft Annual Plan 2022/23

Anonymous User just submitted 'Draft Annual Plan 2022/23 submission' with the responses below.

First name

Anake

Last name

Goodall

Email address

Suburb

Rolleston, Rolleston

Phone number

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?

Yes, I'm submitting on behalf of an organisation

Which organisation are you submitting on behalf of?

Seed The Change | He Kākano Hāpai

Which age category are you in?

40-64 years old

Do you wish to speak to your submission at a Council hearing?

No

Which bus fare structure option would you like to see trialled?

Option 1 (preferred): Fare-free for under 25s, students, Total Mobility and Community Services card holders

Tell us more about why you support the selected bus fare option.

- do the most for those with the least and/or the biggest challenges - encouraging public transport use in younger generational cohorts will increasingly normalise that mode over time - get useful data to input into future transport decisions

Is borrowing and repaying through general rates the right approach for this regionally significant event?

Don't know

How else might we pay for our share of this work?

approach the affected communities directly and ask how, over time, the activities in those regions might be changed to mitigate greater climate change variability, e.g. by retiring erosion prone lands from grazing etc. that is, invite these communities to take their share of responsibility for the future. it doesn't mean that there might not be wider community support as well but IMO this support should not allow residents in these areas to ignore the issue and carry on current practices unchanged

How do you think recovery from flooding of this scale – events that impact state highways, bridges, rail and power for example – should be funded in the future?

the debt option may be fine in the short term, but far better in the long run to use funds (including borrowed funds) to future proof this infrastructure, e.g. shift power lines to higher ground and so on. we need to raise our sights and step into a more appropriately structured future physical infrastructure and avoid just cleaning up after an increasing number of legacy challenges

Which option for distribution of rates in Ashburton River rating district do you support?

Option 1 (preferred): Redistribute rates in the Ashburton River rating district.

Any other comments on flood protection in Ashburton?

as above, there should (IMO) be at least some direct correlation between cost and benefit; this is after all the power of market signals to shift behaviour fast and that is what we desperately need!

Would you support a levy to accelerate action in response to climate change?

Yes

What current or future projects or activities would you like to see funded by such a levy?

there need to be a "Hell Yes!!" option, and starting tomorrow would be fine by me. we know the direction of travel with climate change and sea level rise etcetera so let's start future proofing. we will be far better to put up with some short term degradation of existing assets in favour of accelerating fit-for-future solutions. and in case we're missing the point we need to approach this boldly and with considerable urgency

Any other comments on future funding for responding to climate change?

align, actively and directly, with other NGOs / businesses / philanthropists / community organisations etc that are working in this space. we need all hands to the pumps and this is a considerable opportunity to provide coordination and direction, in much the same way as the Climate Change Commission has (finally) focussed the national response

Where did you hear about the consultation?

Email
