

From: Environment Canterbury
Sent: Tuesday, 29 March 2022 10:34 am
To: Have your Say
Subject: Submission on draft Annual Plan 2022/23

Anonymous User just submitted 'Draft Annual Plan 2022/23 submission' with the responses below.

First name

Matthew

Last name

Stott

Email address

Suburb

Papanui, Christchurch

Phone number

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?

No, I'm submitting as an individual

Which age category are you in?

40-64 years old

Do you wish to speak to your submission at a Council hearing?

No

Which bus fare structure option would you like to see trialled?

Option 1 (preferred): Fare-free for under 25s, students, Total Mobility and Community Services card holders

Tell us more about why you support the selected bus fare option.

I'm keen to see more use of the public transport system. I think this option (Option 1) will increase patronage, which in turn will increase then number of buses on routes and hopefully also new routes.

Any other comments on bus fares?

I also like Option 2 as it doesn't target a particular demographic and would be easier to manage for users and Christchurch transport employees. I feel it is the more equitable option. However, I don't necessarily see that it will increase public transport usage. Option 3 doesn't work as the public transport options to the tertiary institutes, with perhaps the exception or Ara in the city, is woeful. The number of services and route option, and the facilities offered by the tertiary institutions, do encourage patronage.

Is borrowing and repaying through general rates the right approach for this regionally significant event?

Yes

How else might we pay for our share of this work?

A general short term increase of rates for this event. However, in the longer term, if the flooding damage is in a region that is constantly impacted by flooding events - for example along the Heathcote River, or thinking about climate change, along low lying coastal areas - then targeted rate increases for residents in those areas should be considered.

How do you think recovery from flooding of this scale – events that impact state highways, bridges, rail and power for example – should be funded in the future?

A combination of national government and local government funds. The latter being through rate increases.

Which option for distribution of rates in Ashburton River rating district do you support?

I don't have a preference

Would you support a levy to accelerate action in response to climate change?

Yes

What current or future projects or activities would you like to see funded by such a levy?

Protecting against / mitigating impacts of national or locally important infrastructure, e.g. arterial roads, railway, public buildings, and ecologically important environments. Also culturally important locations and structures including marae and urupā.

Any other comments on future funding for responding to climate change?

future funding should focus on reduction of GHG emissions with a particular emphasis on public transport.

Where did you hear about the consultation?

Email
