From:	Environment Canterbury
Sent:	Tuesday, 22 March 2022 10:14 am
То:	Have your Say
Subject:	Submission on draft Annual Plan 2022/23

Anonymous User just submitted 'Draft Annual Plan 2022/23 submission' with the responses below.

First name

Simon

Last name

Loi

Email address

Suburb

Riccarton, Christchurch

Phone number

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?

No, I'm submitting as an individual

Which age category are you in?

40-64 years old

Do you wish to speak to your submission at a Council hearing?

No

Which bus fare structure option would you like to see trialled?

Option 1 (preferred): Fare-free for under 25s, students, Total Mobility and Community Services card holders

Tell us more about why you support the selected bus fare option.

These are the people who are likely to be using the bus services as they either cannot afford, drive or have access to a vehicle.

Any other comments on bus fares?

If the bus services is efficient and affordable many of the people in this group do not need to rely on others to move around and have a better quality of life and independence.

Is borrowing and repaying through general rates the right approach for this regionally significant event?

No

How else might we pay for our share of this work?

General rates affects everyone and will impact many struggling families.

How do you think recovery from flooding of this scale – events that impact state highways, bridges, rail and power for example – should be funded in the future?

Funding should from come from government who should be responsible for the road infrastructure.

Which option for distribution of rates in Ashburton River rating district do you support?

Option 2 (status quo): Keep the current distribution of rates in the Ashburton River rating district.

Would you support a levy to accelerate action in response to climate change?

No

Any other comments on future funding for responding to climate change?

Future funding for responding to climate change should be part of the infrastructure spending and not levy on rate payers.

Where did you hear about the consultation?

Email