From:	Environment Canterbury
Sent:	Tuesday, 22 March 2022 4:21 pm
To: Subject:	Have your Say Submission on draft Annual Plan 2022/23
Jubject.	Submission on draft Affidal Flan 2022/25
Anonymous User just sub	omitted 'Draft Annual Plan 2022/23 submission' with the responses below.
First name	
Dean	
Last name	
Caldwell	
Email address	
Suburb Phillipstown, Christchurch	1
Phone number	
Are you submitting on be	half of an organisation?
No, I'm submitting as an i	ndividual
Which age category are y	ou in?
40-64 years old	

Do you wish to speak to your submission at a Council hearing?		
No		
Which bus fare structure option would you like to see trialled?		
Option 2: \$2 flat fare for adults and \$1.20 for children across bus zones 1, 2 and 3		
Tell us more about why you support the selected bus fare option.		
I lean towards option 2 because I think it would be the fairest.		
Any other comments on bus fares?		
I think that \$2 per fair is to cheap. It makes me feel like I am ripping off bus drivers and mechanics and also support staff. For example groomers and bus refuelers. I think the cash fares for children and students should be \$2 for 1 zone and adults should be \$4 for I zone. For MetroCard fairs I think that children and students fares should remain at \$2 and \$3 for adults across I zone. My reason for this view is because I believe that the rate payers are already paying too much. This means that the user pays system is fairer. And not so much a burden on the rate payers. That more than likely do not use the bus service.		
Is borrowing and repaying through general rates the right approach for this regionally significant event?		
No		
How else might we pay for our share of this work?		
I believe that the majority of the flood recovery cont should be 50/50 between the land owner and the		

Council. The land owners should be able to source a loan if need be from the Council. With interest being a temporary rates increase for the duration of the loan. The purpose of the loan should be

the dwelling.

example - Putting the house on stilts piles. In order to prevent future flood damage to the living area of

How do you think recovery from flooding of this scale – events that impact state highways, bridges, rail and power for example – should be funded in the future?		
33/33/34% Respectively 33% Council rates. 33% Transit New Zealand 34% Insurance from the Council, Transit New Zealand. Or both combined.		
Which option for distribution of rates in Ashburton River rating district do you support?		
Option 1 (preferred): Redistribute rates in the Ashburton River rating district.		
Any other comments on flood protection in Ashburton?		
Those directly around the river should pay higher rates. Because they have the use of the river. And if the river is used for financial gains. Example - A boat tourist service. Then the boat tourist service operator Should pay a tax Levy via their income tax directly funding the local Council rate system.		
Would you support a levy to accelerate action in response to climate change?  Yes		
What current or future projects or activities would you like to see funded by such a levy?		
Better anti-flood dainge and services around rivers that go through the suburbs and city. Example - Having daily Drainage sump cleaning from leaves and rubbish left in the gutter. From late summer to mid winter.		
Any other comments on future funding for responding to climate change?		
33% Council 33% Department of Conservation 34% Insurance from one or both.		
Any other comments on Environment Canterbury's draft Annual Plan or other matters?		

Not currently.

Where did you hear about the consultation?	
Email	