From:	Environment Canterbury
Sent:	Wednesday, 23 March 2022 1:22 pm
To:	Have your Say
Subject:	Submission on draft Annual Plan 2022/23
Anonymous User just sub	mitted 'Draft Annual Plan 2022/23 submission' with the responses below.
First name	
Nicole	
Last name	
Langedijk	
Email address	
Suburb	
Riccarton, Christchurch	
Are you submitting on be	half of an organisation?
No, I'm submitting as an ir	ndividual
Which age category are yo	ou in?
15– 24 years old	
Do you wish to speak to y	our submission at a Council hearing?

No

Which bus fare structure option would you like to	see trialled?
Option 2: \$2 flat fare for adults and \$1.20 for childre	en across bus zones 1, 2 and 3
Tell us more about why you support the selected b	ous fare option.
l agree tertiary students should get discounted fare Christchurch residents we should offer a discount to	s, but instead of fare-free for only a select number of o all residents.
Any other comments on bus fares?	
As a student who moved to Christchurch, the buses fares remain low for everyone, then I will not be ter	were the thing that decided I did not require a car. If mpted to get a car once I finish studying.
Is borrowing and repaying through general rates the event? Yes	ne right approach for this regionally significant
How else might we pay for our share of this work?	
Those that chose to live in endangered suburbs sho	uld take the brunt of the cost.
How do you think recovery from flooding of this so rail and power for example – should be funded in t	
Government funding for upgrades if we show a plar	n for the future that is well thought through.
Which option for distribution of rates in Ashburton	n River rating district do you support?
Option 1 (preferred): Redistribute rates in the Ashb	urton River rating district.

Would you support a levy to accelerate action in response to climate change?		
Yes		
What current or future projects or activities would you like to see funded by such a levy?		
Reasonable upgrades to infrastructure that is located in areas that make sense to protect.		
Any other comments on future funding for responding to climate change?		
Don't mind a small increase (as long as I see it spent in my suburb) but should avoid a large jump in rates. Specific projects in suburbs should be partly funded through rates but the rest made up from suburb residents to avoid those who picked safer suburbs (planned ahead) having to foo the bill for those who chose the endangered suburbs (even though most people have heard the issues expected due to climate change).		
Where did you hear about the consultation?		
Email		