

From: Environment Canterbury
Sent: Thursday, 3 March 2022 10:34 pm
To: Have your Say
Subject: Submission on draft Annual Plan 2022/23

Anonymous User just submitted 'Draft Annual Plan 2022/23 submission' with the responses below.

First name

Max

Last name

Simpson

Email address

Suburb

Beckenham, Christchurch

Phone number

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?

No, I'm submitting as an individual

Which age category are you in?

25-39 years old

Do you wish to speak to your submission at a Council hearing?

No

Which bus fare structure option would you like to see trialled?

Option 2: \$2 flat fare for adults and \$1.20 for children across bus zones 1, 2 and 3

Tell us more about why you support the selected bus fare option.

Current bus fares are unattractive for all potential users compared to other modes of transport. A \$2 flat fare would make the bus an attractive option compared to alternatives such as uber, lime etc.

Any other comments on bus fares?

Surely one of the major purposes of public transport is to get as many people onto public transport as possible and (secondarily) to free up capacity on the road network. Option 1 does not provide an incentive for the vast majority of the rate paying population whilst coming at the greatest cost! Depressingly, Option 1 MAY also reinforce the "loser cruiser" perception of busses as there COULD be significant uptake among students, beneficiaries and others in society less fortunate than the majority, whilst the current (or increased) fares for the fortunate majority COULD further alienate / discourage them from using such services

Is borrowing and repaying through general rates the right approach for this regionally significant event?

No

How else might we pay for our share of this work?

Sausage sizzles? All I care about is that my rates dont go up any further. The continued increases are ridiculous. Start by looking at the amount of red tape that can be cut and eliminate unnecesary spending.

How do you think recovery from flooding of this scale – events that impact state highways, bridges, rail and power for example – should be funded in the future?

insurance, central government, pollution taxes, charging for commercial water bottling rights, farm / irrigation taxes (impacts on river / sediment flows / clearance)

Which option for distribution of rates in Ashburton River rating district do you support?

I don't have a preference

Any other comments on flood protection in Ashburton?

Why should CHCH rate payers be impacted? If CHC rate payers (be it ECAN or CCC components) have to pay for Ashburton flood protection measures but Ashburton ratepayers won't contribute towards CHC stadium costs then something is seriously wrong. I'm sure it works in both directions and this example is not necessarily the case but as a CCC / ECAN ratepayer I would be aghast at contributing to Ashburton flood protection works

Would you support a levy to accelerate action in response to climate change?

No

Any other comments on future funding for responding to climate change?

Polluter pays.

Any other comments on Environment Canterbury's draft Annual Plan or other matters?

STOP RAISING OUR RATES!!

Where did you hear about the consultation?

Social media (Facebook, Instagram)
