From:	Environment Canterbury					
Sent:	Thursday, 3 March 2022 8:44 pm					
To:	Have your Say Submission on draft Annual Plan 2022/23					
Subject:	Submission on draft Affidal Plan 2022/25					
Anonymous User just sub	omitted 'Draft Annual Plan 2022/23 submission' with the responses below.					
First name						
clare						
Last name						
marshall						
Email address						
Suburb South New Brighton, Chri	stchurch					
Phone number						
Are you submitting on be	half of an organisation?					
No, I'm submitting as an i	ndividual					
Which age category are y	ou in?					
40-64 years old						

Do you wish to speak to your submission at a Council hearing?
No
Which bus fare structure option would you like to see trialled?
Option 2: \$2 flat fare for adults and \$1.20 for children across bus zones 1, 2 and 3
Tell us more about why you support the selected bus fare option.
Option 1 or 2 would be my choice. I think we should be paying more rates to improve public transport - it benefits everyone, even the drivers as there is less congestion on the roads (which also benefits cyclists). I think making fares free for students, under 25s etc is great - but these people often don't have a choice and will have to take the bus anyway. It would be nice to reduce the cost for them, but they already represent a large percentage of bus users. I think we need to be encouraging commuters - people who could drive in if they wanted to - to take the bus instead. Therefore a fare reduction for them might be more enticing. I would ultimately be okay with 1 or 2.
Any other comments on bus fares?
Making fares as cheap and easy to use as possible is key. I am happy for a rate increase to cover this.
Is borrowing and repaying through general rates the right approach for this regionally significant event?
Don't know
How else might we pay for our share of this work?
targetted rate to those who live in a river area.

Would you support a levy to accelerate action in response to climate change?

Don	't	kn	ow

Any other comments on future funding for responding to climate change?

Here's the thing. I'd be very happy to pay more to support action against climate change, but I don't trust this regional council. ECan is not even able to manage our rivers fairly - water resource consents to take water are given out like candy while our rivers are polluted and run dry. So I don't want to give you extra money to then "mis manage" climate change action. So I will be very upset if you try to take even more money in a levy from me to squander on pet projects whilst NOT protecting our precious regional environment.

Any other comments on Environment Canterbury's draft Annual Plan or other matters?

Protect our rivers. Stop the dairying industry from taking all our water and adding ridiculous amounts of nitrogen into our ecosystem. ECAn has actively facilitated this irreversible damage. You should be ashamed of your mis-management of this issue.

Where did	you	hear	about	the	consultation?
	,				

Email