Sent:	Thursday, 3 March 2022 4:30 pm					
То:	Have your Say					
ubject: Submission on draft Annual Plan 2022/23						
Anonymous User just	submitted 'Draft Annual Plan 2022/23 submission' with the responses below.					
First name						
Surya BahadurLin						
Last name						
Gurung						
Email address						
Phone number						
Are you submitting on No, I'm submitting as a	behalf of an organisation? n individual					
Which age category ar	e you in?					
40-64 years old						
Do you wish to speak	to your submission at a Council hearing?					

Environment Canterbury

No

From:

Which bus fare structure option would you like to see trialled?					
Option 1 (preferred): Fare-free for under 25s, students, Total Mobility and Community Services card holders					
Tell us more about why you support the selected bus fare option.					
It looks fair due to their financial situation.					
Any other comments on bus fares?					
No.					
Is borrowing and repaying through general rates the right approach for this regionally significant event?					
Don't know					
How else might we pay for our share of this work?					
Organisations and farmers based in Ashburton who are making good revenue should help.					
How do you think recovery from flooding of this scale – events that impact state highways, bridges, rail and power for example – should be funded in the future?					
Government and private companies/businesses based in the zone should help to recover.					
Which option for distribution of rates in Ashburton River rating district do you support?					
Option 1 (preferred): Redistribute rates in the Ashburton River rating district.					

Any other comments on flood protection in Ashburton?					
Farmers should help financially whenever milk prices increase.					
Would you support a levy to accelerate action in response to climate change?					
Yes					
What current or future projects or activities would you like to see funded by such a levy?					
Better quality drinking water					
Any other comments on future funding for responding to climate change?					
Businesses who contribute to harming the environment should be paying more levy.					
Any other comments on Environment Canterbury's draft Annual Plan or other matters?					
Any business activity that harms the environment should pay a higher levy as its consequence can come back to affect/damage cost for the community at large.					
Where did you hear about the consultation?					
Email					