

From: Environment Canterbury
Sent: Thursday, 3 March 2022 3:48 pm
To: Have your Say
Subject: Submission on draft Annual Plan 2022/23

Anonymous User just submitted 'Draft Annual Plan 2022/23 submission' with the responses below.

First name

Heather

Last name

Pearce

Email address

Suburb

Rolleston, Rolleston

Phone number

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?

No, I'm submitting as an individual

Which age category are you in?

40-64 years old

Do you wish to speak to your submission at a Council hearing?

No

Which bus fare structure option would you like to see trialled?

Option 2: \$2 flat fare for adults and \$1.20 for children across bus zones 1, 2 and 3

Tell us more about why you support the selected bus fare option.

The rate if inflation is higher than wages or deposit rates. I choose option 2 because it's fairer across all users and encourages everyone to use public transport. Many people will benefit as will the environment. Sending kids to schools beyond your local one is mostly (but not always) a choice. With that is cost, as is leaving school to study or work. At a time of increasing costs I think option 2 is the most fair. Ecan struggles to get bus drivers now. Option 1 requires more buses and I'm not confident that will happen. The Rolleston Direct 85 which I regularly catch, is full/close to full. Option 1 lacks any thoughts of working with schools to provide busses just for them from certain areas (e.g Rolleston). Option 2 allows for the possibility that more than 3 Rolleston Direct buses are available at other times of the day e.g. 9am to town, and 2pm return, or whatever. Or, Rolleston to Hornby.

Any other comments on bus fares?

Simplifying to 1 zone (with the ferry exception) makes sense.

Is borrowing and repaying through general rates the right approach for this regionally significant event?

Don't know

How else might we pay for our share of this work?

Central govt. Also a longer term view of whether flooded areas are viable for homes and agriculture. We

How do you think recovery from flooding of this scale – events that impact state highways, bridges, rail and power for example – should be funded in the future?

Someone has to pay. But some of that infrastructure cost belongs elsewhere - with the owner of the infrastructure asset.

Which option for distribution of rates in Ashburton River rating district do you support?

I don't have a preference

Any other comments on flood protection in Ashburton?

No, I don't live there.

Would you support a levy to accelerate action in response to climate change?

Don't know

Where did you hear about the consultation?

Email
