From:	Environment Canterbury						
Sent:	Thursday, 3 March 2022 2:27 pm						
То:	Have your Say						
Subject:	Submission on draft Annual Plan 2022/23						
Anonymous User just sul	bmitted 'Draft Annual Plan 2022/23 submission' with the responses below.						
First name							
Thomas							
Last name							
Young							
Email address							
Suburb							
Fendalton, Christchurch							
Are you submitting on bo	ehalf of an organisation?						
No, I'm submitting as an	individual						
Which age category are y	you in?						
40-64 years old							
Do you wish to speak to	your submission at a Council hearing?						

No

Which b	us fare	structure	option v	vould vou	like to s	ee trialled?
TT I I I I I	as iaic	JUI MCCMIC	OPLICIT	TOUIG TOU	11116 60 3	cc tilalica.

Option 2: \$2 flat fare for adults and \$1.20 for children across bus zones 1, 2 and 3

Tell us more about why you support the selected bus fare option.

Would like to see the benefits of public transport available to more than just the limited groups in Option 1. To move the needle on climate change we need to get everyone out of cars; option 1 doesn't make much difference to that

Any other comments on bus fares?

Improving the network must also be a priority otherwise people still won't use buses; we should be both dropping fares (as Christchurch fares are very expensive compared to fares world-wide) and investing in an improved network to allow people to switch out of cars

Is borrowing and repaying through general rates the right approach for this regionally significant event?

Don't know

How do you think recovery from flooding of this scale – events that impact state highways, bridges, rail and power for example – should be funded in the future?

Perhaps the wrong question - better would be "how can we prevent damage from flooding in future?" Moving vulnerable assets from flood plains would be a start; as would improving the resiliency of the network; preventing rebuilding in flood-prone areas; improving NZs climate change/greenhouse emissions reductions action plan, and so on

Which option for distribution of rates in Ashburton River rating district do you support?

Option 1 (preferred): Redistribute rates in the Ashburton River rating district.

Please stop funding the rebuilding of assets in flood prone areas. Better to move the assets than to protect or continually rebuild/replace them							
Would you support a levy to accelerate action in response to climate change?							
Yes							
What current or future projects or activities would you like to see funded by such a levy?							
Improved house insulation; tree planting; increased protection for trees including on land for development; improved public transport; light rail; removal of indirect subsidies for cars (e.g., cheap parking, over allocation of space on roads); dramatically improved support for pedestrians such as pedestrian priority at intersections; stop funding developers building low-density housing in rural areas requiring cars for access							
Any other comments on future funding for responding to climate change?							
Much can be done without greatly increased funding; regulations and guidelines can be used to affect change. The biggest change would come from a change of attitude at ECan. Sometimes it feels as if ECan is actively trying to propose things that it knows will be rejected, rather than in building consensus and momentum for necessary change							
Any other comments on Environment Canterbury's draft Annual Plan or other matters?							
Still waiting for ECan to recognise that we're now in the 21st century, and that incremental last-century solutions aren't appropriate any more							
Where did you hear about the consultation?							
Email							

Any other comments on flood protection in Ashburton?