

From: Environment Canterbury
Sent: Thursday, 3 March 2022 2:11 pm
To: Have your Say
Subject: Submission on draft Annual Plan 2022/23

Anonymous User just submitted 'Draft Annual Plan 2022/23 submission' with the responses below.

First name

Alex

Last name

Carding

Email address

Suburb

Avonhead, Christchurch

Phone number

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?

No, I'm submitting as an individual

Which age category are you in?

25-39 years old

Do you wish to speak to your submission at a Council hearing?

No

Which bus fare structure option would you like to see trialled?

Option 2: \$2 flat fare for adults and \$1.20 for children across bus zones 1, 2 and 3

Tell us more about why you support the selected bus fare option.

I believe that getting people out of cars, to reduce traffic congestion and vehicle emissions should be a priority for ECan. Current fares are very expensive and so public transport is not a desirable alternative to cars, so hardly anyone uses it and fare take is low, leading to fewer/worse services which is a spiral downward. Let's reverse this.

Any other comments on bus fares?

I think the fares should be even lower and there should be more services on offer. Yes we as ratepayers would pay for it, but there are huge benefits to be had.

Is borrowing and repaying through general rates the right approach for this regionally significant event?

Don't know

How else might we pay for our share of this work?

-

How do you think recovery from flooding of this scale – events that impact state highways, bridges, rail and power for example – should be funded in the future?

-

Which option for distribution of rates in Ashburton River rating district do you support?

I don't have a preference

Any other comments on flood protection in Ashburton?

-

Would you support a levy to accelerate action in response to climate change?

Yes

What current or future projects or activities would you like to see funded by such a levy?

At this stage perhaps the exploration of options, after which the implementation of options can be put to public consultation.

Any other comments on future funding for responding to climate change?

I feel some degree of funding should come from locally affected zones. I see people today building houses right on the shoreline, who are surely aware that they will be affected by sea level rise in the not-distant future yet they proceed with it. I don't think others should have to fully pay for the future costs.

Any other comments on Environment Canterbury's draft Annual Plan or other matters?

-

Where did you hear about the consultation?

Email
