

From: Environment Canterbury
Sent: Thursday, 3 March 2022 1:50 pm
To: Have your Say
Subject: Submission on draft Annual Plan 2022/23

Anonymous User just submitted 'Draft Annual Plan 2022/23 submission' with the responses below.

First name

David

Last name

Spangenberg

Email address

Suburb

Edgeware, Christchurch

Phone number

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?

No, I'm submitting as an individual

Which age category are you in?

25-39 years old

Do you wish to speak to your submission at a Council hearing?

No

Which bus fare structure option would you like to see trialled?

Option 2: \$2 flat fare for adults and \$1.20 for children across bus zones 1, 2 and 3

Tell us more about why you support the selected bus fare option.

I believe that an option that impacts the greatest number of individuals would be most beneficial to increase the public transport usage overall. This increased usage can be leveraged to expand the network, further benefitting those completely reliant on the system.

Any other comments on bus fares?

If we were to grant free transport to those that require it (option 1), it may lead to a further schism between public transportation users and others.

Is borrowing and repaying through general rates the right approach for this regionally significant event?

Don't know

How else might we pay for our share of this work?

If we can't afford it, we were 'optimising' our balance sheet too aggressively. We as responsible people need to allow for some contingency for natural disasters. Especially when we live in an area between rivers at the end of the plains, covering many wetlands. We need to be realistic around how much we can accurately predict, and accept responsibility for the risks we took.

How do you think recovery from flooding of this scale – events that impact state highways, bridges, rail and power for example – should be funded in the future?

If we can't afford it, we were 'optimising' our balance sheet too aggressively. We as responsible people need to allow for some contingency for natural disasters. Wspecially when we live in an area between rivers at the end of the plains, covering many wetlands. We need to be realistic around how much we can accurately predict, and accept responsibility for the risks we took. If individuals are expected to make do with flimsy insurance or save up their own reserves, so should their state.

Which option for distribution of rates in Ashburton River rating district do you support?

I don't have a preference

Any other comments on flood protection in Ashburton?

I cannot voice an opinion as I don't reside there and do not have adequate knowledge on the subject.

Would you support a levy to accelerate action in response to climate change?

No

Any other comments on future funding for responding to climate change?

We are voting on a number of motions with regards to our financial shortcomings and adding to a climate change levy when we cannot ensure our basic systems are financially secure seems, not only risky but irresponsible.

Where did you hear about the consultation?

Email
