From:	Environment Canterbury
Sent:	Thursday, 3 March 2022 1:36 pm
То:	Have your Say
Subject:	Submission on draft Annual Plan 2022/23

Anonymous User just submitted 'Draft Annual Plan 2022/23 submission' with the responses below.

First name

Elena

Last name

Gapper

Email address

Suburb

Wigram, Christchurch

Phone number

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?

No, I'm submitting as an individual

Which age category are you in?

40-64 years old

Do you wish to speak to your submission at a Council hearing?

No

Which bus fare structure option would you like to see trialled?

Option 2: \$2 flat fare for adults and \$1.20 for children across bus zones 1, 2 and 3

Tell us more about why you support the selected bus fare option.

Without knowing the stats on the number of current users, it is assumed that Option 1 would see a higher percentage of pax in the fare fee category, than those who are not in this category. So I see two issues: 1. Fare paying pax may feel disadvantaged i.e. getting access to seats because the bus is now full due to the increase in non-paying pax. This may create a feeling of inequality for paying passengers and force paying passengers to resort to using their own transport which will create new congestion issues. 2. A higher percentage of rates increase. Option 2 is preferred as it is more equitable and a lower percentage of rates increase.

Any other comments on bus fares?

Option 2: Recommend child fare to be 50% off an adult fare, so \$1, not \$1.20. This simplifies the process, ease of carrying cash. If the Extra 20c is for admin costs (which also covers adults), then either absorb in the proposed fare, or increase the flat rate to \$2.20 for adults and \$1.10 for children.

Is borrowing and repaying through general rates the right approach for this regionally significant event?

Don't know

How else might we pay for our share of this work?

I cannot answer this fairly as I do not know the actually impact of this to our rates.

How do you think recovery from flooding of this scale – events that impact state highways, bridges, rail and power for example – should be funded in the future?

Proportion of developers, users and suppliers.

Which option for distribution of rates in Ashburton River rating district do you support?

I don't have a preference

Any other comments on flood protection in Ashburton?

No, I am based in Christchurch, so cannot comment further.

Would you support a levy to accelerate action in response to climate change?

Yes

What current or future projects or activities would you like to see funded by such a levy?

Anything that minimises issues caused by climate change (as identified in the Long Term Plan)

Any other comments on future funding for responding to climate change?

Dont' stress rate payers; make it fair and equitable.

Any other comments on Environment Canterbury's draft Annual Plan or other matters?

No.

Where did you hear about the consultation?

Email