

From: Environment Canterbury
Sent: Thursday, 3 March 2022 1:34 pm
To: Have your Say
Subject: Submission on draft Annual Plan 2022/23

Anonymous User just submitted 'Draft Annual Plan 2022/23 submission' with the responses below.

First name

Luke

Last name

Bulger

Email address

Suburb

No Answer

Phone number

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?

No, I'm submitting as an individual

Which age category are you in?

40-64 years old

Do you wish to speak to your submission at a Council hearing?

Yes

Which bus fare structure option would you like to see trialled?

I don't have a preference

Tell us more about why you support the selected bus fare option.

idk

Any other comments on bus fares?

no

Is borrowing and repaying through general rates the right approach for this regionally significant event?

No

How else might we pay for our share of this work?

whoever owns property that benefits from the work should pay.

How do you think recovery from flooding of this scale – events that impact state highways, bridges, rail and power for example – should be funded in the future?

A user pays model is the only way to avoid perverse development, improvement and maintenance of properties that will need to be retreated from in the face of climate change. Owners of vulnerable properties must pay for flood protection.

Which option for distribution of rates in Ashburton River rating district do you support?

I don't have a preference

Any other comments on flood protection in Ashburton?

I stand by my comments in answer to question 14, but I do not live in the Ashburton catchment, I live in Sumner.

Would you support a levy to accelerate action in response to climate change?

Yes

What current or future projects or activities would you like to see funded by such a levy?

public transport fuelled by renewable energy; council activities and assets upgraded to future-ready technology

Any other comments on future funding for responding to climate change?

Our challenge is formidable (although the worst effects won't be felt in our lifetimes). The sad and unpopular reality is that, surely, spending on reducing our emissions must be firmly prioritised over spending to ease the pain of climate change's effects. Given how far behind we are on committing resources to the former, we really must now be rational in how we prioritise the latter: we must push it down the list of things to spend money on.

Any other comments on Environment Canterbury's draft Annual Plan or other matters?

no

Where did you hear about the consultation?

Email
