

**From:** Environment Canterbury  
**Sent:** Saturday, 5 March 2022 8:26 pm  
**To:** Have your Say  
**Subject:** Submission on draft Annual Plan 2022/23

Anonymous User just submitted 'Draft Annual Plan 2022/23 submission' with the responses below.

**First name**

Sam

---

**Last name**

Brewster

---

**Email address**

---

**Suburb**

Ilam, Christchurch

---

**Phone number**

---

**Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?**

No, I'm submitting as an individual

---

**Which age category are you in?**

15– 24 years old

---

**Do you wish to speak to your submission at a Council hearing?**

No

---

**Which bus fare structure option would you like to see trialled?**

Option 2: \$2 flat fare for adults and \$1.20 for children across bus zones 1, 2 and 3

---

**Tell us more about why you support the selected bus fare option.**

the flat fare \$2 is very decent, I catch the bus most days and would be willing to pay this. free fares for young people and total mobility/ community service cards is a very limited amount of people. I fall under the 25 age range but I would rather see the fare be reduced to \$2 for everyone, rather than a case by case amount. Another issue with Option one is that every bus driver would have to ask each passenger what bracket they fall under, this is a personal question for some people, but also one that will take a lot of time and effort for bus drivers/passengers to have to go through every ride. I suppose a way to prevent that is the metro card, as you would be able to add that information, but even then thats still a lot more admin than a decent flat fare. I also worry about the busses getting efficient funds if so many free rides are being given A confirmed \$2 for everyone is ideal, but that is still \$20 a week for most people, as transport home and back would be 2 rides (minimum) x5 days a week, so I understand the argument both ways.

---

**Any other comments on bus fares?**

I'm glad a change is being made

---

**Is borrowing and repaying through general rates the right approach for this regionally significant event?**

Don't know

---

**How else might we pay for our share of this work?**

No Answer

---

**How do you think recovery from flooding of this scale – events that impact state highways, bridges, rail and power for example – should be funded in the future?**

No Answer

---

**Which option for distribution of rates in Ashburton River rating district do you support?**

I don't have a preference

---

**Any other comments on flood protection in Ashburton?**

No Answer

---

**Would you support a levy to accelerate action in response to climate change?**

Yes

---

**What current or future projects or activities would you like to see funded by such a levy?**

No Answer

---

**Where did you hear about the consultation?**

Email

---

