

From: Environment Canterbury
Sent: Saturday, 5 March 2022 8:53 pm
To: Have your Say
Subject: Submission on draft Annual Plan 2022/23

Anonymous User just submitted 'Draft Annual Plan 2022/23 submission' with the responses below.

First name

Liam

Last name

Taylor

Email address

Suburb

Phillipstown, Christchurch

Phone number

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?

No, I'm submitting as an individual

Which age category are you in?

15– 24 years old

Do you wish to speak to your submission at a Council hearing?

No

Which bus fare structure option would you like to see trialled?

Option 2: \$2 flat fare for adults and \$1.20 for children across bus zones 1, 2 and 3

Tell us more about why you support the selected bus fare option.

I believe uptake of public transport in Christchurch is higher for younger age groups currently. Option two would do the most to support uptake in 26-65 year olds, while still supporting families by reducing child fares. Option two could be sold with a targeted marketing campaign aimed at those who work in central Christchurch. \$4 per day for transport is much cheaper than city parking - putting this price in front of more people and challenging their decision to pay more for parking could support uptake and reduce private vehicle trips to the central city. Option two also supports uptake from Rangiora and Rolleston by capping the fare for a trip at \$2. This is crucial to reduce congestion and pollution on busy routes in to and out of Christchurch, especially as these towns grow.

Any other comments on bus fares?

I believe that ECAN should work with the Christchurch Airport to reduce fares to the airport - there is no reason why this should cost more than any other bus trip.

Is borrowing and repaying through general rates the right approach for this regionally significant event?

Don't know

How else might we pay for our share of this work?

Targeted rates for the local area, with a small overall increase. Regions not impacted should contribute, but the contribution should be less than those impacted directly.

How do you think recovery from flooding of this scale – events that impact state highways, bridges, rail and power for example – should be funded in the future?

Central Government should develop a funding model for disaster recovery which does not rely on local governments. These issues will become more frequent and damaging as our climate changes - we cannot keep treating each event as a one-off

Which option for distribution of rates in Ashburton River rating district do you support?

Option 1 (preferred): Redistribute rates in the Ashburton River rating district.

Would you support a levy to accelerate action in response to climate change?

Yes

What current or future projects or activities would you like to see funded by such a levy?

Emissions reduction

Where did you hear about the consultation?

Social media (Facebook, Instagram)
