From:	Environment Canterbury
Sent:	Monday, 7 March 2022 5:07 pm
To: Subject:	Have your Say Submission on draft Annual Plan 2022/23
Subject:	Submission on draft Affidal Plan 2022/25
Anonymous User just sub	omitted 'Draft Annual Plan 2022/23 submission' with the responses below.
First name	
karina	
Last name	
dickson	
Email address	
Suburb Halswell, Christchurch	
Phone number	
Are you submitting on be	half of an organisation?
No, I'm submitting as an i	ndividual
Which age category are y	ou in?
0-64 years old	

Do you wish to speak to your submission at a Council hearing?
No
Which bus fare structure option would you like to see trialled?
Option 3: Tertiary student concession
Tell us more about why you support the selected bus fare option.
The survey did not have an option for me to select Option 4: none of the above which is my preference. The next alternative is Option 3. I think the Council needs to take a fiscally prudent approach with rate rises given the significant percentage rise in a time where costs are escalating for people and any additional cost is unhelpful. A 25% increase is simply too much
Any other comments on bus fares?
I think that the Council should reintroduce annual fare increases so that the key beneficiary of the service pays for it.
Is borrowing and repaying through general rates the right approach for this regionally significant event?
Yes
How do you think recovery from flooding of this scale – events that impact state highways, bridges, rail and power for example – should be funded in the future?
As these are infrastructure that is not managed by Environment Canterbury I think they should be funded by central govt (state highways/bridges) or by rail and power authorities. The Regional Council should not fund these

Would you support a levy to accelerate action in response to climate change?

Any other comments on future funding for responding to climate change?

I think the Council should breakdown the components of its work that are a response to climate change and present this so we can have visibility of what is actually being spent on it e.g. stop bank work, costal erosion, low emission bus fleet, carbon offsetting. If the above was done then I might support this.