

From: Environment Canterbury
Sent: Monday, 7 March 2022 9:39 pm
To: Have your Say
Subject: Submission on draft Annual Plan 2022/23

Anonymous User just submitted 'Draft Annual Plan 2022/23 submission' with the responses below.

First name

Jon

Last name

Rogers

Email address

Suburb

Avonhead, Christchurch

Phone number

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?

No, I'm submitting as an individual

Which age category are you in?

65+ years old

Do you wish to speak to your submission at a Council hearing?

No

Which bus fare structure option would you like to see trialled?

Option 2: \$2 flat fare for adults and \$1.20 for children across bus zones 1, 2 and 3

Tell us more about why you support the selected bus fare option.

Anything free is not appreciated, used efficiently or is often abused. I also do not think that non-bus users should have to pay for the preferences of people who find it convenient to travel by bus

Any other comments on bus fares?

I see many buses on the street quite empty. Buses are very inefficient modes of transport unless they are full. Ecan's proposal might encourage a few more people to try a bus but we will still have a big diesel fume spewing bus on our streets transporting just a few people around = not efficient Have you thought about using smaller, more fuel efficient/ electric/hybrid vehicles during non-peak times?

Is borrowing and repaying through general rates the right approach for this regionally significant event?

Yes

How else might we pay for our share of this work?

Don't know...

How do you think recovery from flooding of this scale – events that impact state highways, bridges, rail and power for example – should be funded in the future?

Sometimes some assistance from central government is required for big events which effect the whole economy

Which option for distribution of rates in Ashburton River rating district do you support?

Option 1 (preferred): Redistribute rates in the Ashburton River rating district.

Would you support a levy to accelerate action in response to climate change?

No

Any other comments on future funding for responding to climate change?

Such a fund would just become a bottomless pit of funding for every greenies' pet project. I fear that money would be wasted and frittered away on projects that won't make any difference to the big picture.

Where did you hear about the consultation?

Postcard
