

From: Environment Canterbury
Sent: Friday, 4 March 2022 5:27 pm
To: Have your Say
Subject: Submission on draft Annual Plan 2022/23

Anonymous User just submitted 'Draft Annual Plan 2022/23 submission' with the responses below.

First name

Andreas

Last name

Wesener

Email address

Suburb

Strowan, Christchurch

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?

No, I'm submitting as an individual

Which age category are you in?

40-64 years old

Do you wish to speak to your submission at a Council hearing?

No

Which bus fare structure option would you like to see trialled?

Option 2: \$2 flat fare for adults and \$1.20 for children across bus zones 1, 2 and 3

Tell us more about why you support the selected bus fare option.

Equity for all user groups

Is borrowing and repaying through general rates the right approach for this regionally significant event?

No

How else might we pay for our share of this work?

There needs to be a discussion about which areas are long-term not viable anymore for residential living. Climate change will have a significant impact on some areas, and a long-term retreat strategy might be the more sustainable solution than trying to fight the increasing flooding problem.

How do you think recovery from flooding of this scale – events that impact state highways, bridges, rail and power for example – should be funded in the future?

central government should carry the load of it and finally act against climate change!

Which option for distribution of rates in Ashburton River rating district do you support?

I don't have a preference

Would you support a levy to accelerate action in response to climate change?

No

Any other comments on future funding for responding to climate change?

I would support targeted action against climate change, such as stopping new purchases of fossil-fuel powered vehicles in NZ or sufficient subsidies for solar-powered roofs, etc. Just paying a levy and having no real decision power over where the money goes, doesn't sound like a good solution for rate payers who are already suffering from high inflation and rising food and energy prices. It's just another tax on top of everything else. Make those pay who pollute and spoil - the SUV drivers, the water wasters. And provide tax exemptions for those who actively fight climate change - for families who decide not to own a car and use the bike instead, or those who collect rain water to water their gardens. There are not enough incentives for people to do something actively about climate change - these people need to be rewarded, and not punished with another tax. Climate change needs to be addressed as people's everyday reality. So, why don't you tax the polluters rather than everyone?

Where did you hear about the consultation?

Email
News article
