| From: | Environment Canterbury | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Sent: | Wednesday, 9 March 2022 11:50 am | | | | | |
| То: | Have your Say | | | | | |
| Subject: Submission on draft Annual Plan 2022/23 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Anonymous User just sub | mitted 'Draft Annual Plan 2022/23 submission' with the responses below. | | | | | |
| First name | | | | | | |
| Dave | | | | | | |
| Last name | | | | | | |
| Fitzjohn | | | | | | |
| Email address | | | | | | |
| Suburb | | | | | | |
| Darfield, Darfield | | | | | | |
| Phone number | | | | | | |
| Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation? Yes, I'm submitting on behalf of an organisation | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Which organisation are yo | ou submitting on behalf of? | | | | | |

Lincoln Envirotown Trust

| Do you wish to speak to your submission at a Council hearing? No |
|---|
| Which bus fare structure option would you like to see trialled? |
| Option 2: \$2 flat fare for adults and \$1.20 for children across bus zones 1, 2 and 3 |
| Tell us more about why you support the selected bus fare option. |
| Public transport must be made affordable to all! Whilst it is commendable to reduce the cost to any groups it is not effective unless everyone can benefit. For instance what use is creating an incentive for children to go to school or sports by bus when parents pay a high price? The family might as well continue with car journeys. Reducing fares for under 25s and children misses a huge demographic of those who commute to work. Currently most commuters drive to work and will continue to do so unless their public transport cost is considerably reduced. Picking away at selected fares, seems to be the normal Government mode of shying away from broad, transformative, and real change. |
| Where did you hear about the consultation? |
| Email Environment Canterbury website |
| |