From:	Environment Canterbury
Sent:	Wednesday, 9 March 2022 9:13 pm
То:	Have your Say
Subject:	Submission on draft Annual Plan 2022/23

Anonymous User just submitted 'Draft Annual Plan 2022/23 submission' with the responses below.

First name

Jordan

Last name

Teheuheu

Email address

Suburb

Hornby, Christchurch

Phone number

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?

No, I'm submitting as an individual

Which age category are you in?

15-24 years old

Do you wish to speak to your submission at a Council hearing?

No

Which bus fare structure option would you like to see trialled?

Option 2: \$2 flat fare for adults and \$1.20 for children across bus zones 1, 2 and 3

Tell us more about why you support the selected bus fare option.

My sister is 28, and not a student My brother is 24 My sister uses the bus every where, my brother plans to bus as he just got a job in the city. They're in 2 different house holds within zone 1. Why should 1 have to pay full for the bus, and 1 gets it free while they both the same rate increase?

Any other comments on bus fares?

Both option 1 and 3 are not a fair system. I like to pay rates to benefit fewer people

Is borrowing and repaying through general rates the right approach for this regionally significant event?

Don't know

How else might we pay for our share of this work?

More information needed

How do you think recovery from flooding of this scale – events that impact state highways, bridges, rail and power for example – should be funded in the future?

My preference would be infrastructure to be installed that will stop/prevent/handle serious rainfall. As opposed to rivers that overflow when it drizzles.

Which option for distribution of rates in Ashburton River rating district do you support?

I don't have a preference

Would you support a levy to accelerate action in response to climate change?

No

Any other comments on future funding for responding to climate change?

N/A

Any other comments on Environment Canterbury's draft Annual Plan or other matters?

Ecan Chair Jenny Hughey should be ashamed for praising a roughly 24% rate increase. This will have a detrimental effect on the public, especially those already on the bread line/lower income. Made worse by increasing inflation. I personally think there is too much dead weight and bureaucracy at this type of organization that is resulting in cost far too high Both Ecan and the council flounder and change their mindset/plans too often and do not think about everything. I.e, don't encourage biking by raising the cost of parking etc when I can't leave my bike in town without it being stolen.

Where did you hear about the consultation?

Word of mouth