

From: Environment Canterbury
Sent: Friday, 11 March 2022 9:14 am
To: Have your Say
Subject: Submission on draft Annual Plan 2022/23

Anonymous User just submitted 'Draft Annual Plan 2022/23 submission' with the responses below.

First name

Kirsten

Last name

Diack

Email address

Suburb

Hampstead, Ashburton

Phone number

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?

No, I'm submitting as an individual

Which age category are you in?

40-64 years old

Do you wish to speak to your submission at a Council hearing?

Yes

Which bus fare structure option would you like to see trialled?

I don't have a preference

Tell us more about why you support the selected bus fare option.

No buses in Ashburton

Is borrowing and repaying through general rates the right approach for this regionally significant event?

No

How else might we pay for our share of this work?

By using the current rates.

How do you think recovery from flooding of this scale – events that impact state highways, bridges, rail and power for example – should be funded in the future?

By using the current rates.

Which option for distribution of rates in Ashburton River rating district do you support?

Option 2 (status quo): Keep the current distribution of rates in the Ashburton River rating district.

Any other comments on flood protection in Ashburton?

There should be some discount to properties with stopbanks running through their land for them maintaining the stopbank as ECan does not do this. Also for the inconvenience of having the land walked through by public and ECan staff appearing to spray once a year, despite them meant to be informing us when this will happen. Flood data show that the Hampstead area is least likely to be effected by flooding as the land is higher than the Tinwald area, yet Tinwald is not deemed to be a highrisk area. The only reason Hampstead is deemed high risk is because the stop bank is here. By the time the stopbank floods, Tinwald and the bridge will be under water. As flood models show, almost half of Ashburton will be flooded before Hampstead will be, unless Hampstead gets flooded via stormwater. (as what happened during the last flood, and not ECans issue). We feel rates should be applied to areas at most risk as opposed to those beside a stopbank that is designed to protect the area. Flood modelling supports that Hampstead is in no risk of flooding any more than the rest of town and actually a great deal less than most areas. To have a 657% rate increase for the area is insane. We have been here for 15years and apart from a once year weed spray from ECan, there has been no maintenance done to the stopbank or the river area near Hampstead.

Would you support a levy to accelerate action in response to climate change?

Don't know

Upload any supporting documents (if applicable)

https://s3-ap-southeast-2.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-australia/e37e98536ffafe9cfdc4b2c98437779d1c97eb29/original/1646943239/7e42f469c97c5b5c2183fdbf5b67bf8e_CJM-015388-3-1023-1_Evidence_of_Greg_Whyte_-_Final.pdf?1646943239

Where did you hear about the consultation?

Print ad or billboard
