From:	Environment Canterbury						
Sent:	Saturday, 12 March 2022 10:52 am						
То:							
Subject:	Submission on draft Annual Plan 2022/23						
Anonymous User just sub	omitted 'Draft Annual Plan 2022/23 submission' with the responses below.						
First name							
Tyler							
Last name							
Watson							
Email address							
Suburb							
Lincoln, Lincoln							
Phone number							
Are you submitting on be							
Which age category are y	ou in?						

25-39 years old

Do you wish to speak to your submission at a Council hearing? No
Which bus fare structure option would you like to see trialled?
Option 2: \$2 flat fare for adults and \$1.20 for children across bus zones 1, 2 and 3
Tell us more about why you support the selected bus fare option.
I commute every day from Lincoln into the city (near the hospital) and take my bike on the bus 4 out of 5 days of the week. The bus fare at the moment is only marginally cheaper than driving. I feel that the majority of people who commute into the city by themselves would be people in my age range who can drive and afford the petrol. If there was a greater financial incentive to get them out of their cars, they may be more prepared to deal with the slight inconveniences of busing (having to work around a timetable, topping up your card, longer travel time, less direct travel route). Getting these people out of cars and onto buses will help reduce congestion at peak travel times, greenhouse gas emissions, and provide more regular income for Council and the bus operators. I feel that tertiary students, those under 25, and Community Services cardholders are already motivated to consider public transport as an option since either they can't drive, can't afford a car, would consider ride-sharing, or are trying to save on transport costs.
Any other comments on bus fares?
As soon as you make something free, there is a risk that people will not value that thing and lose respect for it. I think having a very small cost still makes people value the bus service, whilst increasing accessibility.
Is borrowing and repaying through general rates the right approach for this regionally significant event?
Yes
How do you think recovery from flooding of this scale – events that impact state highways, bridges,

rail and power for example – should be funded in the future?

Due to climate change, events of this type are more likely to occur in the future. I think that Council should be increasing general rates slightly to try and save funds for these future events.						
Which option	for distribution of rates in Ashburton River rating district do you support?					
I don't have a p	preference					
Would you suլ	oport a levy to accelerate action in response to climate change?					
Yes						
What current	or future projects or activities would you like to see funded by such a levy?					
	ight protection. Wetland recovery projects. Native forest planting. Bird protection and in. River rehabilitation. Grants to encourage sustainable farming practices.					
Any other com	ments on future funding for responding to climate change?					
	e affects everyone so funding this type of action through general rates or an additional appropriate. This needs to be actioned immediately and should be included in the ing year.					
Where did you	hear about the consultation?					
Email						