

From: Environment Canterbury
Sent: Monday, 14 March 2022 12:07 pm
To: Have your Say
Subject: Submission on draft Annual Plan 2022/23

Anonymous User just submitted 'Draft Annual Plan 2022/23 submission' with the responses below.

First name

Jess

Last name

Townshend

Email address

Suburb

Ferrymead, Christchurch

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?

No, I'm submitting as an individual

Which age category are you in?

40-64 years old

Do you wish to speak to your submission at a Council hearing?

No

Which bus fare structure option would you like to see trialled?

Option 2: \$2 flat fare for adults and \$1.20 for children across bus zones 1, 2 and 3

Tell us more about why you support the selected bus fare option.

I have found the fee as an adult to travel a very short distance from home very expensive. I believe flattening the fee structure will make this a much more viable option. Although would have to keep in mind the impact of rising fuel prices on the actual cost to the region, and the potential rates increase beyond what is currently being proposed.

Is borrowing and repaying through general rates the right approach for this regionally significant event?

Don't know

How else might we pay for our share of this work?

Be more staunch about not allowing new developments to continue in low lying areas. Consider partnerships with EQC/insurers to pull back from some areas rather than continuing to try and stop nature.

How do you think recovery from flooding of this scale – events that impact state highways, bridges, rail and power for example – should be funded in the future?

Balance of rates and more clear plans for where NOT to allow infrastructure/building. Consider rethinking traditional travel corridors, and upgrade alternative routes as back ups.

Would you support a levy to accelerate action in response to climate change?

Yes

What current or future projects or activities would you like to see funded by such a levy?

I assume by 'levy' you mean some kind of tax on climate emitters? Households could also be included in that. A greater motivator might be to financially REWARD those who reduce their emissions - the only way to succeed is if we can make reductions of emissions the smart economic thing to do.

Where did you hear about the consultation?

Postcard
