| From: | Environment Canterbury | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Sent: | Tuesday, 15 March 2022 7:58 pm | | | | |
| То: | Have your Say | | | | |
| Subject: | Submission on draft Annual Plan 2022/23 | | | | |
| Camlamb28 just submitte | d 'Draft Annual Plan 2022/23 submission' with the responses below. | | | | |
| First name | | | | | |
| Cameron | | | | | |
| Last name | | | | | |
| Bradley | | | | | |
| Email address | | | | | |
| Suburb | | | | | |
| Broomfield, Christchurch | | | | | |
| Phone number | | | | | |
| Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation? No, I'm submitting as an individual | | | | | |
| Which age category are yo | ou in? | | | | |

25-39 years old

| Do you wish to speak to your submission at a Council hearing? |
|--|
| No |
| |
| Which bus fare structure option would you like to see trialled? |
| Option 1 (preferred): Fare-free for under 25s, students, Total Mobility and Community Services card holders |
| Tell us more about why you support the selected bus fare option. |
| It increases the patronage by the most and is more equitable with those who need the savings more getting them |
| Any other comments on bus fares? |
| My preferred option would include both Option 1 & Option 2 with free fares for U25s, students, total mobility & community services card holders, and \$2 fares for remaining adults. Even a 22% increase in patronage will still mean our mode share of public transport is too small to hit that critical mass where enough people are using it that it can compete politically with the car. It is important that reducing fares does not reduce the public transport operating budget and impact PT frequency, routes or quality. |
| Is borrowing and repaying through general rates the right approach for this regionally significant event? |
| Yes |
| How else might we pay for our share of this work? |
| No Answer |
| |

How do you think recovery from flooding of this scale – events that impact state highways, bridges, rail and power for example – should be funded in the future?

| It is important to me that these costs are not incurred by future generations as current and past generations are the ones who have ignored climate change and should therefore be the ones to pay for the costs. |
|--|
| Which option for distribution of rates in Ashburton River rating district do you support? |
| Option 2 (status quo): Keep the current distribution of rates in the Ashburton River rating district. |
| Any other comments on flood protection in Ashburton? |
| The proposed changes look to unfairly impact lower socioeconomic communities negatively and higher socioeconomic communities positively. When adapting for climate change we need to ensure that equity is kept front and center as poorer communities have less choice and are typically impacted more by these things. |
| Would you support a levy to accelerate action in response to climate change? Yes |
| What current or future projects or activities would you like to see funded by such a levy? |
| Increased public transport frequencies, more routes and improvements to increase reliability, e.g. bus lanes or separated carriageways. |
| Where did you hear about the consultation? |
| Word of mouth |
| |