

Comments

LTP 2021-31

Comment ID	1283
Response Date	15/04/21 8:00 AM
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.2
Files	
First name	Jenny
Surname	McPhee
Email address	
Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?	No, I'm submitting as an individual
Which age category are you in?	-
Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please select all those you wish to comment on):	
Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below:	-
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura	
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui	
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri	
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch	

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki

Do you wish to speak to your submission? No

How did you find out about giving feedback?

From:
To:
Subject: LTP submission
Date: Thursday, 15 April 2021 12:16:13 am

I ask that this submission be accepted, as, as a mooring owner I have had no communication from Ecan on the intention to charge mooring owners a fee to dispose of derelict boats. The abandoning of these boats is not under the control of mooring owners, and it is most unfair to make us pay for the actions of others of which we have no control. This is not a payment for any service to mooring owners. I was unable to send this by 5pm today. Jenny McPhee

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject: RE: Ecan charging intentions.
Date: Sun, 11 Apr 2021 22:30:12 +0000
From: Harbourmaster Canterbury
To: jenny

Good morning Jenny,

Thank you for your comments. In order for this to be counted as a formal submission on the LTP consultation this would have to be done by e-mailing with LTP submission in the subject line. I've been informed that despite the deadline for submissions closing yesterday you would have until 5pm tomorrow to email in as above.

Kind regards,
Ian Fox.

-----Original Message-----

From: jenny
Sent: Sunday, 11 April 2021 02:10
To: Harbourmaster Canterbury
Subject: Ecan charging intentions.

I wish to strongly object to the intention of Ecan to charge mooring owners a fee for the cost of removal of derelict boats. This is not a user pays charge. Why mooring owners? My husbands says it is because Ecan has our details, and it is easy to charge us. This cost is a cost which in no way, has any connection with owning a mooring. Mooring owners already pay a fee to Ecan. for administration which has gone from \$100 to \$230. A huge percentage rise, with little or any increase in service. Ecan developed a horse riding trail near the Waimac, without one dollar expected to be paid by those that use it. Charging the mooring holders who keep to the rules, pay their charges and look after their boats, for the few who leave derelict boats for someone else to deal with is abhorrent.. unfair and probably not legal. This intended action is like charging a small section of horse owners for the horse muster of wild horses to cull the herd. There are legal channels for Ecan to go through as in taking the person responsible to court. How come all the other water users you are not expecting to pay Christchurch City Council is making a large increase in rates, in a year where there are many empty Commercial buildings, with no rent coming in, people out of work, with no income coming in. Cycle ways are being built using millions of dollars, without the bikers paying a bean. I suggest a fairer way, if in fact the huge raise in rates won't cover it, is to put the arm back where the vehicles are parked when boats are

launched , with money paid to if the arm as it was before.

This way day boaters will also pay. bearing in mind that mooring owners already pay. It is not the fault of mooring owners that people abandon their boats, it is totally unfair to put this extra expense on to them. I did not see notice of this intention in the Christchurch 10 year plan. Ecan need to lobby the Government , not the boat people, to allow enough money to do their job. Local Councils need to work to a budget, like any other business and not just keep increasing rates. Jenny McPhee.