

Comments

LTP 2021-31

Comment ID	1248
Response Date	14/04/21 2:18 PM
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.2
Files	
First name	Bruce
Surname	Campbell
Email address	
Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?	No, I'm submitting as an individual
Which age category are you in?	-
Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please select all those you wish to comment on):	
Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below:	-
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura	
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui	
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri	
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch	

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki

Do you wish to speak to your submission? Yes

How did you find out about giving feedback?

From:
To:
Subject: Submission Ecan draft Long term Plan.
Date: Sunday, 11 April 2021 12:34:16 pm
Attachments: [ECAN long term plan 2001-31.docx](#)

Ecan submission team.

Please find the attached submission to the LTDP.

Regards Bruce Campbell

Submission on ECAN's draft Long Term Plan 2021-2031

My name is Bruce Ronald Campbell.

I live at

My email is

Introduction

My submission is made in relation to the Air Noise contours surrounding Christchurch International Airport (CIAL) Based on:

(a) My experience of living under the noise contours and the limits they impose and the fact that I do not know of anywhere else a 50 dBA noise contour is used.

(b) The experts modelling Agreement 25th October 2007.

(c) The content of the submission of D M Lawry, with which I am in agreement with.

Pre-amble.

I accept that CIAL is a very necessary asset to the South Island and to Christchurch in particular.

I believe CIAL should be free to carry out their function without undue limitations, imposed from surrounding property owners or other entities.

I also believe that CIAL should in turn not interfere with other people's fundamental rights by imposing an 50 dBA contour, which is not scientifically sound.

I object to land use limitations under a 50 and 55 dBA contours.

I believe that, CIAL like every other business or private individual should minimize pollution where there is an effective way to do it.

(1) Living under the 50dBA and then the 55dBA contour.

When we built a dwelling at 542 Yaldhurst Rd in 2005 the area was zoned under the 50dBA contour and the Architects designed the house accordingly.

In 2008 the land was designated under the 55dBA contour which was much more restrictive Yet we noticed no more noise than before and in fact the planes coming and going have never caused any concern, hence my reasoning there needs to be no restrictions under the 55dBA zone either. Planes make less noise now than they did back in 2007.

I believe that the Air noise boundaries should be notifiable on any land sale under the noise contours but no limitation should be imposed on land use. CIAL have a usage right over a property with no cost responsibility for it.

(2) Based on the Modelling Agreement of 2007, it was recommended that a remodeling of the Air Noise Contours be carried out every 10 years. Clause 7 (a) & (b)

While there has been substantial disruption to the normal running of Christchurch in the last 10 years there is now no reason why these contours should not be revaluated with the latest, updated input parameters.

This new evaluation needs to be carried out under the chairmanship of an independent expert.

The new input parameters need to be impartially arrived at.

As can be seen from the 2007 agreement CIAL's projections have proven to be exaggerated, which biased the outcome at that time.

Also the contours that CIAL were trying to defend have proven to have had no adverse effects on the operation of CIAL.

The same result would apply if the 50 dBA contour was entirely removed.

It is completely wrong that a 50dBA contour should be imposed at all.

This is the level of noise that is prevalent in any reasonably busy urban area.

The footprint of the area under the CIAL 50dBA contour is large.

CIAL have used their privileged position to their advantage by building assets under noise contours that they have denied others.

Remedy sought by this submission.

That there is a re-evaluation of the modelling of the Air Noise Boundaries with unbiased verifiable input data.

That CIAL's projections are verified by an independent body.

That the 50dB air Noise Boundary be removed.

That there be no restrictions put on any land by CIAL that is not owned by CIAL without compensation.

Regards Bruce Campbell