

Comments

LTP 2021-31

Comment ID	1166
Response Date	13/04/21 8:34 AM
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.9
Files	
First name	Jill
Surname	Nuthall
Email address	
Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?	Yes, I'm submitting on behalf of an organisation
Which organisation are you submitting on behalf of?	Inner City West Neighbourhood Association
Are you willing to tell us more about yourself?	No
Which age category are you in?	-
Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and opportunities?	No
Which of the proposed options would you like to see us progress with?	Option 1: statutory work, prior commitments and accelerating key initiatives
Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios:	
Water and Land	Yes
Biodiversity and Biosecurity	Yes
Climate Change and Community Resilience	Yes
Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development	Yes

Regional and Strategic Leadership Yes

Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please select all those you wish to comment on):

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for your household? Option 1 is affordable

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a whole for the Canterbury community? Yes

Do you support the changes we're proposing to how we apply Uniform Annual General Charges? Yes

Would you support the use of borrowing for operating expenditure to offset some of the first year rates? Don't know

Do you support the rationale and proposed changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy? Yes

Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below: -

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki

Do you wish to speak to your submission? No

How did you find out about giving feedback?

From:
To:
Subject: LTP Submission by ICON pp1-3
Date: Sunday, 11 April 2021 9:36:01 am

Long-Term Plan | Te Pae Tawhiti 2021-31

Feedback form

Puka urupare

Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury) is the regional council for Waitaha the Canterbury region. We are taking action together to shape a thriving and resilient Canterbury, now and for future generations.

Our Long-Term Plan sets out the activities, priorities and work programmes we propose to deliver over a 10-year period. It also outlines the costs and how they could be funded.

Please review the Consultation Document and Supplementary Information at haveyoursay.ecan.govt.nz/LTP for more details.

Consultation closes Sunday 11 April 2021.

Ways to submit this form:

By mail (no stamp required): LTP submission, Freepost 1301, Environment Canterbury, PO Box 345, Christchurch 8140
By email: mailroom@ecan.govt.nz subject line: LTP submission
Online: You can fill out an online version of this form at haveyoursay.ecan.govt.nz/LTP

Tell us what you think

By making a submission you agree that Environment Canterbury may use and publish that feedback, including your name and contact details. If you do not wish for your personal details to be made public, please indicate this in your submission. Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy.

Tell us about you

Name Jill Nuttall (Chair of ICON)
Address _____
Postcode _____
Phone number _____ Mobile number _____
Email _____

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?

- ☒ Yes, I'm submitting on behalf of an organisation
☐ No, I'm submitting as an individual

Which organisation are you submitting on behalf of?

Inner City User Neighbourhood Association (ICON)

The following questions are optional, but will help us make better decisions and ensure we're hearing from people across the region.

Which age category are you in?

- ☐ 0-14 ☐ 15-24 ☐ 25-39 ☐ 40-64 ☐ 65+

Climate Change and Community Resilience comments:

Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development comments:

Regional and Strategic Leadership comments:

Affordability/how we're proposing to pay for these activities

Tell us your view on the affordability of the proposed increase in total rates revenue (under Option 1 or Option 2). Please check our rates calculator ratescalculator.ecan.govt.nz as the increase will be different for different ratepayers, depending on your property and land value and location.

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for your household?

- ☒ Option 1 is affordable
☐ Option 2 is affordable
☐ Neither option is affordable

Any further comments on affordability?

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a whole for the Canterbury community?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No
☐ Don't know

Any further comments on affordability for the community?

So far as we know but clearly there will be exceptions

Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and opportunities?

- ☐ Yes
☒ No
☐ Don't know

Any further comments?

Mostly Yes but insufficient focus on and funds for rapid public transport in Christchurch Central, and plan is too timid on climate change actions. Make both of these matters more specific & project focused.

Which of the proposed options would you like to see us progress with?

- ☒ Option 1: statutory work, prior commitments and accelerating key initiatives
☐ Option 2: statutory work and prior commitments
☐ Other option (please specify)

We support Option 1 strongly and wish for even more progress

Tell us what you would like to keep in the plan, what you think should be removed, and anything that you think we have missed?

Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios:

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water and Land | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unsure |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Biodiversity and Biosecurity | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unsure |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unsure |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate Change and Community Resilience | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unsure |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regional and Strategic Leadership | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unsure |

Water and Land portfolio comments:

Biodiversity and Biosecurity comments:

From:
To:
Subject: Submission LTP by ICON pp 4,5,6
Date: Sunday, 11 April 2021 9:39:08 am

Do you support the changes we're proposing to how we apply Uniform Annual General Charges?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No
☐ Don't know

Any further comments on how we apply Uniform Annual General Charges?

Borrowing

Borrowing can be used to spread the burden of a high-cost activity over several years. We usually consider it appropriate to spread the cost of an activity because the benefit of the activity lasts the same time or longer than the repayment period. With a potential 10-year loan period, repayments would have some impact on rates for 13 years.

Would you support the use of borrowing for operating expenditure to offset some of the first year rates?

- ☐ Yes
☐ No
☒ Don't know

Any further comments on borrowing for operating expenditure to offset some of the first year rates?

We are concerned this generation does not leave future generations with unaffordable rates and housing.

Fees and Charges

Our Fees and Charges Policy sets out how much users will pay for activities like consents, compliance and monitoring, harbourmaster and navigation safety, and holding events in regional parks and forests.

Do you support the rationale and proposed changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No
☐ Don't know

Any further comments on the draft Fees and Charges Policy?

Local projects and new initiatives

We're also seeking feedback on whether we should continue investing in some of our existing projects that are funded by targeted rates or try these new initiatives in other districts. New projects will not commence until 2024.

Should we continue investing in MyWay by Metro, Timaru's on-demand public transport service, after the trial period is completed?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☒ Don't know

Any further comments?

If MyWay by Metro Timaru proves it is effective and efficient
then it could be trialled in Inner City Christchurch (see our
comment below).

The Hekeao Hinds Managed Aquifer Recharge is a pilot of environmental infrastructure to address water quality. To continue enhancing this infrastructure, the project would require ongoing targeted rates to the local Hinds Plains community between the Ashburton and Rangitata Rivers. Do you want to see this project continue?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Don't know

Any further comments?

N/A for our inner city group

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area?
(please select all those that apply)

- ☒ On-demand public transport services
- ☐ Using aquifer recharge to manage freshwater quality
- ☒ Other initiative/s (please specify)

In the inner city invest in the most effective and efficient public
transport. We strongly support the reinstatement of regular frequent
electric buses such as Yellow Buses rather than on-demand. If such
a service were available and buses could be reduced on every
10 or 15 minutes, people would use them. Easier even than on-demand?
Such a service would encourage more people to move
into the central city.
ICON members suggest something like gold coin if the city/Ecan
cannot make fares free.

For the city and outlying towns we want commuter rail
to be further invested in

Supporting documents
Please attach any documents to support your submission.



Public hearings

If you would like to speak to your submission at a hearing with Environment Canterbury's Council let us know. We will be in touch to arrange once submissions have closed. Hearings will be held on 27, 28 April and 4 May 2021.

Do you wish to speak to your submission?

☐ Yes
☒ No

There is personal information/contact details in my submission I do not want disclosed

☒ Yes
☐ No

Tell us which information you do not want disclosed:

We want this submission to be that of the organisation
Inner City West Neighbourhood Association (not the writer, Chai.
* You may use my name and email address as contact details

Where did you hear about the consultation?

☐ Meeting, hui or event ☐ Environment Canterbury website ☐ Social media (Facebook, Instagram, Twitter) ☐ Radio
☐ Postcard ☐ Newspaper ☐ Email ☐ Word of mouth ☐ Other (please specify) CCC and
other Neighbourhood Associations

Any further comments?

Process of consultation

We note the online version differs from the hard copy so we had to
use paper copy also in future PLEASE
- include more specific summaries of projects in the 'Have a Say'
brochure. It was very hard for lay people to find the link
between the brochure's general statements and the details
of what projects are planned and which are to be funded.

Thank you

Thank you for taking the time to make a submission on the draft Long-Term Plan 2021-31.