

Comments

LTP 2021-31

Comment ID	1030
Response Date	12/04/21 4:59 AM
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1
First name	Andrew
Surname	Oram
Email address	

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation? No, I'm submitting as an individual

Are you willing to tell us more about yourself? Yes

Which age category are you in? 40-64 years old

Which suburb or area do you live in?

Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and opportunities? No

What do you feel are the significant challenges and opportunities we face?

Why are we replacing our Canterbury water plan that we have spent 60 million on.
Why can't the council approach the Government to keep our well done plan instead of throwing it out and wasting our money

Which of the proposed options would you like to see us progress with? Other option (please specify)

Ecan must concentrate on its core activities only. A 25% rate rise is unacceptable especially as it appears that rural people will be paying a greater proportion

It is important that we hear what you would like to keep in the plan, what you think should be removed, and anything that you think we have missed?

Concentrate on land,water including flood protection and biosecurity

Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios:

Water and Land	Yes
Biodiversity and Biosecurity	Yes
Climate Change and Community Resilience	No
Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development	No
Regional and Strategic Leadership	No

Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please select all those you wish to comment on):

- . Water and Land
- . Biodiversity and Biosecurity
- . Climate Change and Community Resilience
- . Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development
- . Regional and Strategic Leadership

Water and Land portfolio comments:

I do not support the scrapping of our well thought out and worked through plans on the dictate of central government when that work can work well in the future to meet the new rules Ecan will lose support of the ratepayers if it ditches 60 million dollars worth of work,especially rural people

Biodiversity and Biosecurity portfolio comments:

Support Biosecurity funding

Climate Change and Community Resilience portfolio comments:

River work and flood protection supported
waffly talkfests about community climate change resilience are wasted money

Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development portfolio comments:

Urban transport must be paid for by targeted rates in areas where it is accessible

Regional and Strategic Leadership portfolio comments:

Ecan should not be spending money on projects that are really the responsibility of the government or Ministry of education

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for your household? Neither option is affordable

Any further comments on affordability for your household?

Where is it going to stop? we are not made of money
Someone has to actually make the money before you can help yourself to it
We are not a bottomless pit,especially charging rural ratepayers a higher proportion

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a whole for the Canterbury community? No

Do you support the changes we're proposing to how we apply Uniform Annual General Charges? No

Any further comments on Uniform Annual General Charges?

All projects that benefit people should be covered by UAGC

Would you support the use of borrowing for operating expenditure to offset some of the first year rates? No

Any further comments on the use of borrowing for operating expenditure?

Cut your expenses if you don't have the money

Do you support the rationale and proposed changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy? No

Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below: Ashburton district

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton . Other initiative/s

The Hekeao Hinds Managed Aquifer Recharge is a pilot of environmental infrastructure to address water quality. To continue enhancing this infrastructure, the project would require ongoing targeted rates from the Ashburton district. Find out more [link]. Do you want to see this project continue? Yes

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki

Do you wish to speak to your submission? No

Would you like to be kept up-to-date with the outcome of this consultation? Yes

How did you find out about giving feedback? . Meeting, hui or event

Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy.

There is personal information/contact details in my submission I do not want disclosed: No