

Comments

LTP 2021-31

Comment ID	991
Response Date	11/04/21 7:37 PM
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1
First name	Will
Surname	Mackenzie
Email address	
Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?	No, I'm submitting as an individual
Are you willing to tell us more about yourself?	No
Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and opportunities?	No
Which of the proposed options would you like to see us progress with?	Other option (please specify)

object to such a large and unjustified rate increase

It is important that we hear what you would like to keep in the plan, what you think should be removed, and anything that you think we have missed?

Remove funding for implementing the essential freshwater package
 Remove funding for youth engagement
 Delay funding coastal plan
 Too much spending on frivolous projects

Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios:

Water and Land	Unsure
Biodiversity and Biosecurity	Unsure

Climate Change and Community Resilience	No
Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development	No
Regional and Strategic Leadership	No

Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please select all those you wish to comment on):	. Water and Land
	. Climate Change and Community Resilience
	. Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development

Water and Land portfolio comments:

We have various land and water plans in place. We should not have to pay again to replace these. Ecan should tell the government to recognise our existing plans

Climate Change and Community Resilience portfolio comments:

Too much money on talking

Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development portfolio comments:

Urban development is really bad for the environment and yet they have different and more enabling rules than apply in rural areas

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for your household?	Neither option is affordable
---	------------------------------

Any further comments on affordability for your household?

Should be more use of the UAGC
option 1 proposes a rate increase in excess of \$5000/year, we are also now expected to pay a huge amount in increase in monitoring and consenting charges

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a whole for the Canterbury community?	No
--	----

Any further comments on affordability for the community?

Council has been irresponsible. The chairman is now saying that it is not much more for rate payers. Use the UAGC to ensure they pay their fair share rather than load all the costs onto rural rate payers

Do you support the changes we're proposing to how we apply Uniform Annual General Charges?	No
---	----

Any further comments on Uniform Annual General Charges?

Should use the UAGC more especially to/and climate change, coastal plans and all leadership stuff

Would you support the use of borrowing for operating expenditure to offset some of the first year rates?	No
---	----

Any further comments on the use of borrowing for operating expenditure?

It is highly likely interest rates will go up. Borrowing will blow out rates demands in future and is a short term convenience

Do you support the rationale and proposed changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy? No

Any further comments on the Fees and Charges Policy?

There is considerable double dipping going on. Increase in rural rates are huge and yet we are expected to pay extra fees and charges

Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below: Ashburton district

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton . Other initiative/s

The Hekeao Hinds Managed Aquifer Recharge is a pilot of environmental infrastructure to address water quality. To continue enhancing this infrastructure, the project would require ongoing targeted rates from the Ashburton district. Find out more [link]. Do you want to see this project continue? Yes

Any further comments on Hekeao Hinds Managed Aquifer Recharge? Yes

We need catchment solutions to help meet the new environmental standards. No different to waste water systems in urban development

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki

Do you wish to speak to your submission? No

Would you like to be kept up-to-date with the outcome of this consultation? Yes

How did you find out about giving feedback? . Newspaper

Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy.

There is personal information/contact details in my submission I do not want disclosed: No