

## Comments

## LTP 2021-31

Comment ID 947

**Response Date** 11/04/21 2:59 PM

**Status** Submitted

Submission Type Web

Version 0.1

First name Charles

**Surname** Ross

**Email address** 

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation? No, I'm submitting as an individual

Are you willing to tell us more about yourself? No

Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and No opportunities?

## What do you feel are the significant challenges and opportunities we face?

Maintining economic viability of Canterbury versus being overruled by impractical philopsohies and concepts which will ultimately lead to a poorer region and place in which people live and work.

Which of the proposed options would you like to Option 2: statutory work and prior commitments see us progress with?

It is important that we hear what you would like to keep in the plan, what you think should be removed, and anything that you think we have missed?

ECAN had already implemented the most comprehensive fresh water conditions and the ways of reducing nutrient discharge in NZ. I do not agree that we should have to pay to change these to suit Minister David Parker's agenda. The government's new regulations are unworkable for farmers and as yet are not finalised. Should be pushed back.

Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios:

Water and Land No

**Biodiversity and Biosecurity** Yes **Climate Change and Community Resilience** No Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development Unsure Regional and Strategic Leadership Unsure Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please select all those you wish to comment on): Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for Option 2 is affordable your household? Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a No whole for the Canterbury community? Do you support the changes we're proposing to No how we apply Uniform Annual General Charges? Would you support the use of borrowing for No operating expenditure to offset some of the first year rates? Any further comments on the use of borrowing for operating expenditure? Borrowing is not a good practice; it burdens future generations. Do you support the rationale and proposed No changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy? Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your Ashburton district district below: Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton

The Hekeao Hinds Managed Aquifer Recharge is a pilot of environmental infrastructure to address water quality. To continue enhancing this infrastructure, the project would require ongoing targeted rates from the Ashburton district. Find out more [link]. Do you want to see this project continue?

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki

## Any further comments?

The draft consultation document is overwhelming for the lay person to easily read. It is to wordy, time consuming and most frustrating when people are already busy endeavouring to survive and give to the community in other volunteer ways. One wonders if it is a cunning, political, purposeful ploy of ECAN to present the document in this manner.

Do you wish to speak to your submission? No

How did you find out about giving feedback? Environment Canterbury website

Word of mouthNewspaper

Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy.

There is personal information/contact details in No my submission I do not want disclosed: