

Comments

LTP 2021-31

Comment ID	937
Response Date	11/04/21 2:59 PM
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1
First name	Bruce
Surname	Black

Email address

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation? Yes, I'm submitting on behalf of an organisation

Which organisation are you submitting on behalf of? Black Farming Group

Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and opportunities? No

What do you feel are the significant challenges and opportunities we face?

Climate change
Harnessing our natural water resources

Which of the proposed options would you like to see us progress with? Option 2: statutory work and prior commitments

It is important that we hear what you would like to keep in the plan, what you think should be removed, and anything that you think we have missed?

Enviroment Canterbury 10 year L.T.P proposes a 24.5% rate increase, when their stated policy as it says is a limit on rate rises of no more than 5.3%!
The proposed increase is discriminatory towards country areas
Completely out of kilter for the rural land owners to pay many times more towards the U.A.G.C. than someone owning a house in Christchurch
If the rate rise is to fund a new regional plan costing \$25 million, Why have Ecan binned the latest regional plan , costing the community \$60 million?
Have they no confidence in their own plan?
And if Ecan had just spent \$60 million on a thorough process of developing a plan that they had total

faith in, Why have they not defended that plan, instead of just binning it and accepting to start again? Overlaying a new plan will not speed any environmental improvement, What it will achieve is to replace some difficult, but achievable environmental targets with a set of new targets/policies that we have very little, if any chance of achieving

No business in the private sector can act with the fiscal irresponsibility we are seeing from Ecan. It is time for critique on what our rates money is being spent on and the competency of such spending

With Ecans lack of responsibility in their spending we Black Farming Group find the proposed rate rises totally unacceptable

Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios:

Water and Land	Unsure
Biodiversity and Biosecurity	Unsure
Climate Change and Community Resilience	Unsure
Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development	Unsure
Regional and Strategic Leadership	Unsure

Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please select all those you wish to comment on):

Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below: Hurunui district

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki

Do you wish to speak to your submission? No

Would you like to be kept up-to-date with the outcome of this consultation? Yes

How did you find out about giving feedback? . Newspaper

Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy.

There is personal information/contact details in my submission I do not want disclosed: No